Quantum and classical phases of the pyrochlore Heisenberg model with competing interactions

Yasir Iqbal,^{1,*} Tobias Müller,² Pratyay Ghosh,¹ Michel J. P. Gingras,^{3,4,5} Harald

O. Jeschke,⁶ Stephan Rachel,^{7,8} Johannes Reuther,^{9,10} and Ronny Thomale²

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

²Institute for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics,

Julius-Maximilian's University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

³Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 5G7

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

⁵Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, 180 Dundas St. W., Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1Z8

⁶Research Institute for Interdisciplinary Science, Okayama University,

3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

⁷School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

⁸Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01069 Dresden, Germany

⁹Dahlem Center for Complex Quantum Systems and Fachbereich Physik,

Freie Universität Berlin, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

¹⁰Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, D-14109 Berlin, Germany

(Dated: October 12, 2018)

We investigate the quantum Heisenberg model on the pyrochlore lattice for a generic spin-S in the presence of nearest-neighbor J_1 and second-nearest-neighbor J_2 exchange interactions. By employing the pseudofermion functional renormalization group (PFFRG) method, we find, for S = 1/2 and S = 1, an extended quantum spin liquid phase centered around $J_2 = 0$, which is shown to be robust against the introduction of breathing anisotropy. The effects of temperature, quantum fluctuations, breathing anisotropies, and a J_2 coupling on the nature of the scattering profile, and the pinch points in particular, are studied. For the magnetic phases of the J_1-J_2 model, quantum fluctuations are shown to renormalize phase boundaries compared to the classical model, and to modify the spiral magnetic ordering vectors of spiral magnetic states, while no new magnetic orders are stabilized.

I. INTRODUCTION

The classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice stands as an epitome of geometric frustration in three dimensions as shown by its failure to develop magnetic long-range order down to absolute zero temperature, realizing what has been dubbed a "cooperative paramagnet" [1]. This is a consequence of the *extensive* classical ground state degeneracy [1–4] which proves severe enough to prevent thermal "orderby-disorder" mechanism [5-7] from selecting a unique ground state ordering pattern [3, 4, 8, 9]. In contrast to thermal fluctuations, the impact of quantum fluctuations remains much less understood and constitutes a critically outstanding problem. In the regime of large spin-S, using an effective Hamiltonian approach [10], it was shown that at harmonic order in 1/S, the extensive classical ground state degeneracy $\exp[\mathcal{O}(L^3)]$ (L is the linear dimension of the system) is *partly* lifted, yielding a subset of collinear states with a massive, albeit subextensive degeneracy $\exp[\mathcal{O}(L)]$ [11–14]. It turns out that consideration of higher-order terms in a 1/S expansion also fails to select a unique ground state [15]. Indeed, while quartic corrections in boson operators do break the degeneracy of the harmonic ground states, there still remains a family of (almost) degenerate (exp[$\mathcal{O}(L)$]) states [16]. Thus,

the fate of the semiclassical (1/S) approach remains unsettled due to weak selection effects at the anharmonic level. In the opposite extreme quantum limit of small-*S*, there is reasonably strong evidence for a quantum paramagnetic ground state. Investigations of the S = 1/2antiferromagnet have claimed for either a valence bond crystal [17-24] or a quantum spin liquid [25-31] ground state. We note that a $J_1-J_2-J_3$ S = 1/2 model derived from a strong-coupling expansion of a one-band half-filled Hubbard model on the pyrochlore lattice has been proposed to host a quantum spin liquid [32, 33]. In the much less investigated case of S = 1 [19, 34-36], there have been suggestions of a ground state with tetrahedral symmetry breaking [37].

The "cooperative paramagnet" ground state of the classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet is known to be extremely fragile, in that magnetic longrange order is induced upon inclusion of various perturbations, such as, further neighbor Heisenberg interactions [2, 38–41], dipole interactions [42], Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya anisotropy [43, 44], single-ion anisotropy [45, 46], lattice distortions [47–52], and bond-disorder [53–55]. In particular, further neighbor Heisenberg interactions are found to stabilize a plethora of intricate classical magnetic orders [56, 57]. However, in the low spin-S regime, where the strong possibility of a quantum paramagnetic ground state for the nearest-neighbor quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet exists, the impact of the above mentioned perturbations on the paramagnet

^{*} yiqbal@physics.iitm.ac.in



FIG. 1. The nearest-neighbor (J_1) and next-nearest-neighbor (J_2) bonds in the pyrochlore lattice.

remains largely unexplored. This is a topic of high significance and importance when considering the behavior of real materials. In this paper, we carry out a broad investigation of the J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model for a generic spin-S on the pyrochlore lattice,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i$ is a quantum spin-S operator at a pyrochlore lattice site *i*. The indices $\langle i, j \rangle$ and $\langle \langle i, j \rangle \rangle$ denote sums over nearest-neighbor and second-nearest-neighbor pairs of sites, respectively [see Fig. 1]. The investigation of the low temperature properties of this Hamiltonian in the small-S regime is notoriously difficult. This is a methodological challenge for which numerically exact and unbiased methods are not yet available. Indeed, traditional quantum many-body numerical methods such as density-matrix renormalization group and tensor network approaches [58, 59], while successful in one- and two-dimensions, become unfeasible in three dimensions due to entanglement scaling and system size limitations. Quantum Monte Carlo methods [60, 61], while able to reach sufficiently large system sizes, are in principle restricted to unfrustrated systems, while variational Monte Carlo approaches [62, 63], which have been shown to be extremely successful in two-dimensions [64–66], require very large correlations volumes to extract reliable estimates in the thermodynamic limit. Finally, the bold diagrammatic Monte Carlo method can only reach down to moderately low-temperatures [31]. Thus, one is essentially left with only mean-field approaches based on Schwinger bosons [67], semi-classical analysis based on spin-waves, or linked-cluster expansion methods [68], which capture magnetic order accurately but are unsuitable for studying paramagnetic behavior deep in the collective paramagnetic (spin liquid) regime. In this respect, the PFFRG framework has an important feature in the form of a built-in balance towards the treatment of ordering and disordering tendencies for three-dimensional

frustrated magnets [69].

By employing PFFRG for the spin-S J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model, we find for S = 1/2 an extended quantum spin liquid regime centered around $J_2 = 0$, with an extent of $-0.25(3) \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.22(3)$ while for S = 1, its span is reduced by approximately a factor 2, $-0.11(2) \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.09(2)$. For S = 1/2 and S = 1, the spin susceptibility profile of the nearest-neighbor antiferromagnet in the [hhl] plane features a bowtie pattern, characteristic of the well known Coulomb spin liquid phase [70]. The bowties are found to be robust up to temperatures $T/J_1 \sim 1$. However, the inclusion of even a small J_2 coupling is shown to shift the spectral weight away from the pinch points causing the bowties to rapidly disappear upon cooling, similar to the findings for the corresponding classical model [71]. In the opposite limit of large-S, quantum fluctuations either lift the extensive degeneracy of the classical ground state manifold only partially to a subextensive one or completely (which would then potentially induce long-range magnetic ordering). The $J_1 - J_2$ parameter space is known to host seven different classical magnetic orders [56], which we also find in the S = 1/2 model. Moreover, we show that quantum fluctuations do not stabilize any new phases. such as long-range dipolar or quadrupolar magnetic orders, and valence-bond crystal states.

The paper is organized as follows, in Sec. II we describe the PFFRG method (Sec. II A) employed for the quantum treatment of the model, starting with a description of its formalism (Sec. IIA1) followed by details of its numerical implementation in Sec. II A 2. In Secs. IIB and IIC, we discuss schemes used to obtain the ground state of classical spin models, namely the Luttinger-Tisza method [Sec. II B] and the iterative minimization of the energy [Sec. II C] (The reader interested mainly in the results can directly jump to Secs. III and IV). Employing these methods, we begin with a treatment of the ground-state and low-energy physics of the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet in Sec. III. starting first with a classical analysis [Sec. III A 1] of the isotropic and breathing lattices, and then moving on to the quantum treatment of the S = 1/2 [Sec. III B] and S = 1 [Sec. III C] models for both isotropic and breathing lattices. Finally, the section ends by addressing the problem of the ground state of the large-S quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet [Sec. III D]. Next, in Sec. IV, we deal with the $J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model, by first revisiting the classical phase diagram [Sec. IV A], and subsequently present the results for the quantum model in Sec. IV B. We also discuss the impacts of quantum fluctuations on the nature of phases, and phase boundaries. We end the paper with a summary of the results in Sec. V, followed by an outlook and discussion of future directions in Sec. VI.

II. METHODS

A. Pseudofermion functional renormalization group method

1. Formalism

The key idea of the PFFRG method [72] is to express the spin-1/2 operators in terms of pseudofermions [73],

$$\hat{S}_{i}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \hat{f}_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu} \hat{f}_{i\beta}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$ are Pauli matrices ($\mu \in \{x, y, z\}$) and $\hat{f}_{i\alpha}$ ($\hat{f}^{\dagger}_{i\alpha}$) denote spin- α fermionic annihilation (creation) operators. For the implementation to spin systems with local S > 1/2 spins, we adopt the approach of Ref. [74], where multiple copies of spin-1/2 degrees of freedom are introduced at each lattice site, i.e., the local spin operators are replaced by

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \to \sum_{\kappa=1}^M \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i\kappa} , \qquad (3)$$

while the couplings J_{ij} remain independent of the fermion "flavor" κ . If all individual $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i\kappa}$ "spins" ($\kappa \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$) align ferromagnetically (see below for details), they realize the largest possible magnitude S = M/2 on each site, thus implementing the desired effective magnetic moment. In terms of pseudofermions, the substitution in Eq. (3) amounts to equipping the fermion operators with an additional index κ ,

$$\hat{S}^{\mu}_{i\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \hat{f}^{\dagger}_{i\alpha\kappa} \sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{f}_{i\beta\kappa}, \qquad (4)$$

pseudofermionic representations for spin operators generally require some caution since they introduce additional spurious states with zero $(Q_i \equiv f_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} f_{i\uparrow} + f_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} f_{i\downarrow} = 0)$ or two $(Q_i = 2)$ fermions at a site *i*. Such states carry no spin (S = 0), and the physical spin-1/2 degrees of freedom are realized in the singly occupied subspace with $Q_i = 1$. The pseudofermionic approach is guaranteed to be faithful only if the contribution from the S = 0states is negated. For a proper implementation of spins S > 1/2, one additionally needs to ensure that the spin flavors κ combine to the largest local moment S = M/2while smaller spins with $S = M/2 - 1, \ldots$ are eliminated from the Hilbert space. A convenient approach that simultaneously fulfills both constraints is to add an on-site local level repulsion term $A(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{M} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i\kappa})^2$ to the Hamiltonian. For negative A, this term reduces the energies of all levels with finite magnetic moments, where the largest reduction occurs in the sector with the highest spin. An |A|chosen sufficiently large guarantees that the low-energy subspace of the Hamiltonian is the one without any nonor doubly occupied states for each κ . Furthermore, the M

spin-1/2 copies combine into an effective spin S = M/2. We emphasize, however, that for the ground states of generic Heisenberg spin models (such as the pyrochlore systems studied here), a vanishing level repulsion term A = 0 turns out to be sufficient to fulfill both pseudoparticle constraints. This is because for two-body spin interactions, the energy naturally scales with the spin length squared such that the largest local moment is energetically favored even for A = 0 (note, however, that counter-examples can be constructed [75]).

Rewriting the spin Hamiltonian in terms of Eq. (4), the resulting fermionic model is treated within the standard FRG framework for interacting fermion systems [76–78]. A somewhat unusual situation arises here because the system is purely quartic in the fermions, without any quadratic kinetic terms that could be used as a noninteracting starting point in a perturbative expansion. Within FRG, this situation is addressed by summing up infinite order diagrammatic contributions in different interaction channels as well as accounting for vertex corrections between them. Particularly, as will be explained in more detail below, the summation is such that in the large-S limit and the large-N limit, where N generalizes the spin symmetry group from SU(2) to SU(N), the leading diagrammatic contributions in 1/S and 1/N are both treated *exactly* [79]. As a consequence, classical magnetically ordered states (typically favored at large-S) and nonmagnetic spin liquids or dimerized states (as obtained at large-N [80] may both be described within the same methodological framework.

Due to the absence of fermion kinetic hopping terms, the bare fermionic propagator is strictly local and takes the simple spin-independent form

$$G_0(i\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega} , \qquad (5)$$

where $i\omega$ denotes a frequency on the imaginary Matsubara axis. Within the standard PFFRG scheme [72], this propagator is dressed with an infrared step-like regulator function,

$$G_0(i\omega) \longrightarrow G_0^{\Lambda}(i\omega) = \frac{\theta\left(|\omega| - \Lambda\right)}{i\omega},$$
 (6)

which interpolates between the limits $\Lambda \to \infty$ (where the fermionic propagation is completely suppressed) and the original cutoff-free theory at $\Lambda = 0$. This modification generates a Λ dependence of all one-particle irreducible *m*-particle vertex functions as described by the FRG flow equations. For the self energy $\Sigma^{\Lambda}(i\omega)$ and the two-particle vertex $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$ (the label "X" stands for site, frequency and spin variables respectively, i.e., $X \equiv \{i, i\omega, \alpha\}$. A diagrammatic version of these equations is illustrated in Fig. 2, where the arrows denote dressed and Λ -dependent propagators

$$G^{\Lambda}(i\omega) = \frac{\theta\left(|\omega| - \Lambda\right)}{i\omega - \Sigma^{\Lambda}(i\omega)} \tag{7}$$



FIG. 2. Diagrammatic representation of the PFFRG equations for (a) the self energy $\Sigma^{\Lambda}(i\omega)$ (gray disk) and (b) the twoparticle vertex $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$ (gray squares). Arrows denote the fully dressed propagator $G^{\Lambda}(i\omega)$ and slashed arrows denote the single-scale propagator $S^{\Lambda}(i\omega)$. The gray hexagon in (b) is the three-particle vertex. Note that the right hand side of (b) contains additional terms where the slashes in the first to fifth term appear in the respective other propagator. For a spin S generalization, the first term on the right and side of (a) and second term in (b) are multiplied with a factor of 2S.

and slashed lines denote the single-scale propagator

$$S^{\Lambda}(i\omega) = \frac{\delta\left(|\omega| - \Lambda\right)}{i\omega - \Sigma^{\Lambda}(i\omega)} \,. \tag{8}$$

Due to the locality of fermion propagators, the twoparticle vertex $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$ effectively depends on *two* site indices only, i.e., $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2) \sim \delta_{i_1i_1}, \delta_{i_2i_2'}$. As illustrated in Fig. 2, this allows one to connect incoming and outgoing arrows of $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$ in a way that site variables remain constant along fermion lines.

The FRG equations in Fig. 2 show a systematic interplay between the RG flows of different vertex functions where the Λ -derivative of each *m*-particle vertex couples to all m'-particle vertices with $m' \leq m+1$. To reduce this infinite hierarchy of intertwined equations to a finite and numerically solvable set, we neglect the three particle vertex in Fig. 2(b) albeit not in entirety as certain three-loop terms obtained from the Katanin truncation scheme are included, and which amount to self-energy corrections [81], as described below, however, all higher vertices are completely discarded. However, this approximation effectively amounts to discarding three-body spin correlations such that the description of spin phases with chiral order parameters $\langle \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \times \hat{\mathbf{S}}_k) \rangle$ is not possible [82]. Still, parts of the three-particle vertex can be included by applying the so-called Katanin truncation [81] which replaces the single scale propagator by the full Λ derivative of the dressed propagator

$$S^{\Lambda} \longrightarrow -\frac{d}{d\Lambda} G^{\Lambda} = S^{\Lambda} - \left(G^{\Lambda}\right)^2 \frac{d}{d\Lambda} \Sigma^{\Lambda} .$$
 (9)

While the additional Katanin terms formally have the structure of the three-particle term [the last term in Fig. 2(b)], they should rather be understood as self-energy corrections [81]. Indeed, the Katanin truncation ensures full self-consistency at the two-particle level in the sense that the self-energy is completely fed back into the flow of Γ^{Λ} . This feedback is particularly important

for the description of strongly fluctuating spins which requires two-particle vertex renormalizations beyond the bare ladder summations. Together with the initial conditions defined in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$ (where the self-energy vanishes and the two-particle vertex reduces to the bare couplings J_{ij}), the closed set of differential equations is now amenable to numerical treatment.

According to standard diagrammatic Feynman rules, the implementation of spins S > 1/2 via the local replication of S = 1/2 degrees of freedom [see Eq. (3)] introduces additional sums over flavor indices κ for all closed fermion loops in the PFFRG equations. Since the bare couplings J_{ij} are independent of κ , this simply leads to an extra factor M = 2S in the Hartree contribution for the self-energy [the first term on the right hand side of Fig. 2(a) and in the RPA contribution for the two-particle vertex [the second term on the right hand side of Fig. 2(b)]. Increasing S consequently strengthens the RPA term with respect to the other terms indicating that these diagrams are responsible for the formation of classical magnetic long-range order. Indeed, one can show that in the absence of finite-temperature divergencies of subleading 1/S diagrams, the bare RPA channel (which accounts for only leading 1/S diagrams) correctly reproduces the classical limit $S \to \infty$ where the PFFRG becomes identical to the Luttinger-Tisza method [74]. We would like to mention that a correct treatment of the classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet indeed requires accounting for the effects of subleading 1/S diagrams as discussed in Appendix A. In a similar way, the PFFRG method can be generalized to treat SU(N) spins with N > 2. In such a scheme, the ladder channels [first and fifth term on the right hand side of Fig. 2(b)] contribute with an additional factor $\sim N$, indicating that these terms describe nonmagnetic spin liquids or dimerized states. In analogy to a large S generalization, they become exact in the limit $N \to \infty$. This built-in balance between large S and large N terms represents the key property of the PFFRG that allows one

to study magnetic order and disorder tendencies on fair footing. The PFFRG was initially developed in twodimensions [72], however, subsequent refinements have made it capable of handling a wide spectrum of frustrated magnetic Hamiltonians for multi-layer systems and in three dimensions [69, 83–102]

2. Numerical solution of PFFRG flow equations and probing the nature of the ground state

To solve the PFFRG equations numerically, we approximate the spatial dependence of $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$ by discarding all vertices with a distance between sites i_1 and i_2 greater than some maximal value. In our calculations, we use a distance of ~ 11.5 nearest-neighbor lattice spacings which corresponds to a total volume of 2315 correlated spins. Likewise, the continuous frequency arguments of the vertices are approximated by discrete meshes, for which we typically use a combination of linear and logarithmic grids consisting of 64 discrete frequency points.

By fusing the external legs (1, 1') and (2, 2') of the two-particle vertex $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$, one can calculate the static spin-spin correlator

$$\chi_{ij}^{zz} = \int_0^\infty d\tau \left\langle T_\tau S_i^z(\tau) S_j^z(0) \right\rangle \,, \tag{10}$$

where T_{τ} (with τ being the imaginary time) is the imaginary time ordering operator.

Transforming χ_{ij}^{zz} into **k**-space yields the wave vector resolved susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{k})$

$$\chi(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j} \chi_{ij}^{zz} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)}, \qquad (11)$$

which is the central outcome of the PFFRG to probe the system's magnetic properties. Note that since in the Heisenberg case the susceptibility is always isotropic, we omit the component indices xx/yy/zz in the susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{k})$. Here, the first summation is carried over the four sites of a given primitive unit cell, and the prefactor of 1/4 is the inverse of the total number of sites in the unit cell. This quantity has the periodicity of the extended Brillouin zone but not of the first Brillouin zone, and thus the susceptibilities are always presented in the former. Henceforth, all wave vectors \mathbf{k} are expressed in units where the edge length of the pyrochlore cubic unit cell is one. The onset of long-range dipolar magnetic order is signaled by a divergence in the Λ -flow of the susceptibility as observed in the thermodynamic limit. This divergence is a manifestation of the fact that the spin-spin correlations do not decay in the limit of long distances, which would ultimately cause the Fourier transform $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ to diverge. However, in the numerical calculations, we employ a frequency discretization and keep only a limited spatial-range of the two-particle vertices,

thence, the Fourier transform amounts to a finite site summation that no longer diverges. Thus, these divergences end up being regularized, manifesting themselves as kinks or cusps at some critical Λ_c in the Λ -evolution of the susceptibility (henceforth referred to as "breakdown of the RG flow") [see Appendix B for a discussion on detection of magnetic instabilities in the RG flow].

The type of magnetic order is characterized by the wave vector at which the breakdown of the RG flow occurs. In 3D, the PFFRG ordering scales, i.e., Λ_c , are directly related to the ordering temperatures $T_{\rm c}$ via $\frac{T_{\rm c}}{J}$ = $\left(\frac{2\pi S(S+1)}{3}\right)\frac{\Lambda_c}{J}$ [69]. The conversion factor $2\pi S(S+1)/3$ between the RG scale Λ and the temperature T can be obtained by comparing the limit of PFFRG where only the RPA diagrams contribute [74], i.e., a mean-field description, and the conventional spin mean-field theory which is formulated in terms of temperature instead of Λ [103]. On the other hand, nonmagnetic (absence of dipolar magnetic order) ground states are signaled by a susceptibility flow that *continues to evolve smoothly* down to the (numerical) limit $\Lambda \to 0$. Even in the absence of long-range dipolar magnetic order, the momentum profile of $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ at $\Lambda \ll 1$ allows one to determine the dominant types of short-range spin correlations or to identify competing ordering tendencies.

In the absence of long-range dipolar magnetic order in the ground state, we can further probe for possible spin nematic [3, 4, 104] and valence bond crystal orders [17–24] by computing the corresponding nematic and dimer response functions. Here, we are particularly interested in studying the tendency of the quantum paramagnet towards spontaneous breaking of either spin rotation symmetry, i.e., nematic order or translational symmetry, i.e., dimer order. The onset of these orders is marked by the divergence of the corresponding order-parameter susceptibility, which is given by a fourspin correlator. For spin nematic order, this is the standard nematic correlation function $\sum_{\mu,\nu} \langle \mathcal{O}_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_{kl}^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ where $\mathcal{O}_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = \langle \hat{S}_i^{\mu} \hat{S}_j^{\nu} \rangle - \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{3} \langle \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \rangle$ [105, 106] (with $\mu, \nu = x, y, z$ denoting the three directions in spin space, and i, j representing the lattice sites) is a symmetric traceless tensor. For dimer order, it is the singlet-singlet correlation function $D_{ijkl} = \langle (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j) (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_l) \rangle - \langle \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \rangle^2$. In PFFRG, such correlators are represented by the fermionic fourparticle vertex, and while the PFFRG formalism could in principle be straightforwardly extended to obtain the RG flow equation for the *four*-particle vertex, their numerical solution is, at present, not feasible due to limitations posed by computational complexity limitations and memory requirements. The fact that the four-particle vertex is a priori excluded from the RG equations implies that the RG flow of the spin susceptibility [Eq. 11] is unaffected by the possible presence of competing nematic and dimer orders. Hence, we adopt a simple recipe within the PFFRG framework to calculate the nematic (dimer) response function $\eta_{\rm SN}$ ($\eta_{\rm VBC}$) which measure the propensity of the system to support nematic (valence bond crystal) order. It amounts to adding a small perturbation to the bare Hamiltonian which enters the flow equations as the initial condition for the two-particle vertex. The perturbing term for probing spin nematic order is

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\rm SN} = \delta \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_j^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_j^y) - \delta \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z \tag{12}$$

which strengthens (weakens) the xx and yy (zz) component of the couplings J_{ij} on all nearest-neighbor bonds, and where $0 < |\delta| \ll J$. This induces a small bias towards the lowering of spin-rotational symmetry in such a way that spin-isotropy is always retained for spin-rotations in the x-y plane, i.e., the spin-rotational symmetry is broken down from SU(2) to U(1). Similarly, the perturbing term for probing dimer order is

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{VBC}} = \delta \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in \mathbf{S}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j - \delta \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle \in \mathbf{W}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j, \qquad (13)$$

which strengthens the couplings J_{ij} on all bonds in S $[J_{ij} \rightarrow J_{ij} + \delta \text{ for } \langle i, j \rangle \in S]$ and weakens the couplings in W $[J_{ij} \rightarrow J_{ij} - \delta \text{ for } \langle i, j \rangle \in W]$. The bond pattern P $\equiv \{S_p, W_p\}$ (the subscript "p" labels the strong and weak bonds corresponding to a pattern "P") employed here specifies the spatial pattern of symmetry breaking one wishes to probe.

These modifications amount to changing the initial conditions of the RG flow at large cutoff scales Λ . As Λ is lowered, we keep track of the evolution of all nearest-neighbor spin susceptibilities χ_{ij} . We then define the nematic response function for a given pair of nearest-neighbor sites by

$$\eta_{\rm SN} = \frac{J}{\delta} \frac{(\chi_{ij}^{xx})_{\Lambda} - (\chi_{ij}^{zz})_{\Lambda}}{(\chi_{ij}^{xx})_{\Lambda} + (\chi_{ij}^{zz})_{\Lambda}} , \qquad (14)$$

where χ_{ij}^{xx} (χ_{ij}^{zz}) are the correlators on the strengthened (weakened) bonds. Similarly, the dimer response function is given by

$$\eta_{\rm VBC}^{\rm P} = \frac{J}{\delta} \frac{(\chi_{\rm S_P})_{\Lambda} - (\chi_{\rm W_P})_{\Lambda}}{(\chi_{\rm S_P})_{\Lambda} + (\chi_{\rm W_P})_{\Lambda}},\tag{15}$$

where, χ_{S_p} (χ_{W_p}) denotes $\chi_{ij} \in S_p$ ($\chi_{ij} \in W_p$). The normalization factor J/δ ensures that the RG flow starts with an initialized value of $\eta_{SN/VBC} = 1$. If the absolute value $\eta_{SN/VBC}$ decreases or remains small under the RG flow, the system tends to equalize, i.e., to reject the perturbation on that link while, if $\eta_{SN/VBC}$ develops a large value under the RG flow, it indicates that the system is tending to develop an instability towards the probed nematic or valence bond crystal order.

B. Luttinger-Tisza method

The classical limit of a system of n quantum spins described by a Heisenberg model is achieved by first normalizing the spin operators by dividing them by their angular momentum S and then taking the limit $S \rightarrow \infty$ [107, 108]. This procedure yields the corresponding classical spin system wherein the spin operators in Eq. (1) are replaced by ordinary vectors of unit length at each lattice site-*i*. For general interactions, the classical Hamiltonian to be minimized reads as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} J_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) \mathbf{S}_{i,\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j,\beta}, \qquad (16)$$

where by i/j we denote the primitive lattice site separated by the lattice translation vectors \mathbf{R}_{ij} and α/β denotes the sublattice site index. The underlying primitive lattice of the pyrochlore lattice is the face-centered cubic lattice, and the pyrochlore structure is composed of four interpenetrating face-centered cubic lattices. The Luttinger-Tisza method [109–111] attempts to find a ground state of Eq. (16) by enforcing the spin length constraint only globally, $\sum_i |\mathbf{S}_i^2| = S^2n$, where *n* is the total number of lattice sites, which is termed as *weak constraint*. This implies that site-dependent average local moments are now permissible, which, strictly speaking, take us beyond the classical limit by approximately incorporating some aspects of quantum fluctuations [112].

To solve this relaxed problem, we decompose the spin configuration into its Fourier modes $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ on the four sublattices of the pyrochlore lattice

$$\mathbf{S}_{i,\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N/4}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{i,\alpha}}.$$
 (17)

Inserting this equation into Eq. (16) results in

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{J}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\beta}(-\mathbf{k}), \qquad (18)$$

with the interaction matrix given by

$$\tilde{J}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i,j} J_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{ij}}.$$
(19)

The optimal modes satisfying the weak constraint are then given by the wave vector \mathbf{k} , for which the *lowest* eigenvalue of Eq. (19) has its *minimum*. The eigenvector corresponding to this eigenvalue gives the relative weight of the modes on the sublattices [113], which means that the optimal modes do not fulfill the strong constraint $(|\mathbf{S}_i^2| = S^2, \text{ i.e., fixed spin-length constraint on every})$ site) if the components of the eigenvector do not have the same magnitude. If however, this condition is met, the true ground state of the classical model is a coplanar spiral determined by the optimal Luttinger-Tisza wave vector [114]. There are also cases where one can construct an explicit parametrization of the ground state purely from the optimal modes in the pyrochlore lattice, as is the case with the cuboctahedral stack state described in Sec. III A 1.

C. Iterative minimization of the classical Hamiltonian

To find the ground state of the classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian in parameter regions where the Luttinger-Tisza method is not exact, i.e. a state constructed solely from the optimal modes does not fulfill the strong constrained, we employ an iterative minimization scheme which preserves the fixed spin length (strong) constraint at every site [56]. Starting from a random spin configuration on a lattice with periodic boundary conditions, we choose a random lattice point and rotate its spin to point antiparallel to its local field defined by

$$\mathbf{h}_{i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \mathbf{S}_{i}} = \sum_{j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_{j}.$$
 (20)

This results in the energy being minimized for every spin update and thereby converging to a local minimum. We choose a lattice with L = 32 cubic unit cells in each direction, and thus a single iteration consists of $16L^3$ sequential single spin updates. One can therefore view this scheme as a variant of classical Monte Carlo with Metropolis updates at zero temperature, where we only accept optimal updates. This iterative scheme is carried out starting from ten up to fifty different random initial configurations per parameter set to maximize the likelihood of having found a global energy minimum. The exact number depends on convergence of the resulting energies. From the minimal energy spin configuration, the spin structure factor

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{16L^3} \Big| \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_i e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_i} \Big|^2 \tag{21}$$

is computed, which is, up to a normalization constant, the same as the susceptibility defined in Eq. (11), but now for a finite system. Although it is not guaranteed that this scheme ends up in the global energy minimum, we find that in all cases where an exact ground state is known, the iterative minimization scheme recovers the ground state, even when there exist non-optimal states corresponding to local energy minima and having the same wave vector content as the true ground state. This also provides us with the opportunity to use spin configurations built from various (which can be arbitrarily chosen) parametrizations as a starting point of the minimization to check the quality of these parametrizations and also compare the competition between two states directly at a phase boundary.

As the iterative minimization works in direct space, we naturally see lattice symmetry breaking inherent to the ordered ground state, which cannot be captured by symmetry preserving Fourier space based methods such as Luttinger-Tisza.

In the following section, we investigate the ground state of the general J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model, both in the small spin-S regime (employing PFFRG) as well as the corresponding classical model using a combination of Luttinger-Tisza method and iterative energy minimization schemes. We first begin with a discussion of the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

III. THE NEAREST-NEIGHBOR HEISENBERG ANTIFERROMAGNET

We begin by investigating the ground state and behavior of the spin-spin correlation functions of the Heisenberg model with only a nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic interaction and for a general pyrochlore lattice with nonzero breathing anisotropy

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = J_{\rm up} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{\rm up}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j + J_{\rm down} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{\rm down}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j, \qquad (22)$$

where $J_{\rm up} > 0$ and $J_{\rm down} > 0$ are two different antiferromagnetic couplings on the nearest-neighbor bonds within the up- and down-tetrahedra, i.e., $\langle i, j \rangle_{\rm up}$ and $\langle i, j \rangle_{\rm down}$, respectively. Hereafter, we parameterize these couplings in terms of a single angle φ and an overall energy-scale \tilde{J} ,

$$J_{\rm up} = \tilde{J}\cos(\varphi), \qquad J_{\rm down} = \tilde{J}\sin(\varphi), \qquad (23)$$

From a material perspective, the isotropic version of the model, i.e., $\varphi = \pi/4$ has proven to be of relevance in understanding the low-temperature dynamics in chromium spinels [57, 115]. On the other hand, the spatially anisotropic version of the model, wherein the up- and down-tetrahedron feature different exchange couplings, i.e., $J_{\rm down}/J_{\rm up} \neq 1$, the socalled breathing pyrochlore is realized in the recently synthesized spinels LiGaCr₄O₈, LiInCr₄O₈, LiInCr₄S₈, $LiGaCr_4S_8$, $CuInCr_4S_8$, and $CuInCr_4Se_8$ [116–130], and in a pseudospin S = 1/2 Yb-based compound $Ba_3Yb_2Zn_5O_{11}$ [131–133]. In these compounds, the magnetic Cr^{3+} (Yb³⁺) ions, which carry S = 3/2 (S = 1/2), form an alternating array of small and large tetrahedra. resulting in different exchange couplings for the two sets of tetrahedra. We begin by reviewing the established results for the classical Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the isotropic and breathing [130] pyrochlore lattices. While a number of the results given below have previously been published in literature, re-establishing them here will set the stage for our own original results.

A. Classical model

1. Isotropic case

At the isotropic point of Eq. (22), we have $J_{\rm up} = J_{\rm down} = \tilde{J}/\sqrt{2} \equiv J_1$. Henceforth, all temperatures for the isotropic classical and quantum models will be expressed in units of $J_1S(S+1)$ and J_1 , respectively (and



FIG. 3. For the classical $(S \to \infty)$ nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet, the spin susceptibility profile [in units of $1/(\tilde{J}S(S+1))$] in the [*hhl*] plane obtained using PFFRG and evaluated for (a)–(c) isotropic model, (d)–(f) the breathing model for $\varphi = 3\pi/16$, and (g)–(i) the breathing model for $\varphi = \pi/16$ at three different temperatures, $T/(\tilde{J}S(S+1)) = 0.5$ [(a), (d), (g)], $T/(\tilde{J}S(S+1)) = 2$ [(b), (e), (h)], $T/(\tilde{J}S(S+1)) = 5$ [(c), (f), (i)]. In (a), we encircle the pinch-point at $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 4\pi)$. Each plot has its own color scale where the red corresponds to the maximum of each plot, and blue is fixed to zero.

we will omit the factor of $\sqrt{2}$), while for the breathing model they will be expressed in units of $\tilde{J}S(S+1)$ and \tilde{J} for the classical and quantum models, respectively. In the classical limit of Eq. (22), the Heisenberg spin operators $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i$ reduce to standard three-component vectors \mathbf{S}_i . In the ensuing analysis, it will prove convenient to introduce the magnetization $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of the \mathcal{T}^{th} tetrahedron,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{T},\alpha},\tag{24}$$

where the index $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 labels the four spins within the \mathcal{T}^{th} tetrahedron. In terms of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$, the Heisenberg Hamiltonian can be recast as a *disjoint* sum of the square of the magnetizations $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$ over the "up" and "down" tetrahedra,

$$\mathcal{H}_{isotropic} = \frac{J_1}{2} \sum_{\mathcal{T}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}^2 - \text{const.}$$
(25)

From Eq. (25), it follows that any state which satisfies the condition $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbf{0}$ on each tetrahedron \mathcal{T} is a classical ground state. The dimension of the ground state manifold turns out to be *countably* infinite. This is best illustrated via a "Maxwellian counting argument" [3, 4], which proceeds as follows; for a system of N_s classical Heisenberg spins, we have the number of degree of freedom $F = 2N_s$ (three degrees of freedom with one spin length normalization constraint). In the ground state all three components of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$ should be zero on every tetrahedron, which gives the number of constraints $K = 3N_c$, where N_c is the number of tetrahedral clusters, and $N_s = 2N_c$ (each tetrahedron has four spins but each spin is shared between two tetrahedra). Hence, under the assumption that all constraints can be satisfied simultaneously and are all linearly independent, we arrive at the number of ground-state degrees of freedom $D = F - K = 4N_c - 3N_c = N_c$ which is extensive quantity. If the constraints are all not linearly independent then one underestimates D, however, for the pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet, it was shown [3, 4]that the corrections to the estimate for D are at most sub-extensive. The extensive $(\exp[\mathcal{O}(L^3)])$ degeneracy of



FIG. 4. The PFFRG data (dotted curve) showing the fullwidth-at-half-maximum (FWHM) (along the [00l] cut, white line in inset) of the pinch-point as a function of temperature in the classical isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet. The calculation has been done in the bare RPA limit [see Appendix A] wherein the exact pinch-point pattern shown in the inset (plotted using the numerator in Eq. A5) naturally occurs due to the flat-modes in the interaction matrix [see Eq. (19)]. However, this approximation (which accounts for only leading 1/S diagrams) contains a methodological artifact which manifests in the form of a divergence of the susceptibility at a finite temperature $T/(\tilde{J}S(S+1)) = \sqrt{2}/3$ (produced by the denominator of Eq. A5), at which the [hhl]plane susceptibility shown in the inset has been evaluated. Above this temperature, the width of the pinch points is seen to reproduce the $T^{1/2}$ behavior [4]. In Appendix A, we show how the inclusion of higher order diagrammatic contributions in 1/S cure this spurious divergence.

the ground state manifold proves severe enough to preclude a finite-temperature phase transition, thus realizing a zero-temperature "cooperative paramagnet" [1] with non-zero entropy [134], referred to as a "classical spin liquid" [3, 4, 8, 9, 135]. Indeed, at low temperatures, the Heisenberg model not only fails to develop long-range dipolar magnetic order of the Néel type, but also does not have conventional nematic order [3, 4] of the type characterized by an order parameter which takes on its maximal value in a perfectly collinear state [104]. At T = 0, the classical spin liquid features *critical*, i.e., algebraic spin-spin correlations of dipolar character [136], which is a consequence of the local constraint that the magnetization $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$ on each tetrahedron is identically zero for any ground state [137–141]. These dipolar correlations most visibly show up in the Fourier transform of the twospin correlator, where they form a pattern of bowties [see Fig. 3(a) with sharp singularities termed pinch-points [see encircled point in Fig. 3(a)] [3, 9, 27, 70, 142]. The dipolar nature of the correlations in the $T \rightarrow 0$ regime is, in fact, a common feature of all classical O(N) nearestneighbor antiferromagnets for which the system remains paramagnetic down to T = 0 [140]. This excludes the N = 2 (XY-spins) case, as this is known to show a thermal order-by-disorder transition to collinear ordering for

spins which have a global easy-plane [3, 4] as well as those with local sublattice dependent easy-planes which are perpendicular to the local $\langle 111 \rangle$ axes [45, 143–147]. The limit N = 1 (Ising spins) is realized in various spinice materials $A_2B_2O_7$ ($A \equiv Dy$, Ho and $B \equiv Ti$, Sn) which, at low but nonzero temperatures, host a classical spin liquid featuring dipolar correlations and the associated pinch points [148]. Coming back to the case of N = 3 (Heisenberg spins) at finite temperatures, we note that thermal fluctuations lead to violations of the M_{τ} = **0** constraint and generate a *finite* correlation length ξ which, at low-temperatures, diverges as $T^{-1/2}$ [4]. At distances $r \gg \xi$, the algebraic nature of the real-space spin-spin correlations changes into an exponential. Consequently, at finite-temperatures the pinch points acquire a finite-width ~ $1/\xi$ [71] [see Figs. 3(a)–(c)] which, at low-temperatures, goes to zero as $T^{1/2}$ [4] [see Fig. 4].

2. Breathing case

As in the case of the isotropic pyrochlore lattice, the Heisenberg Hamiltonian in the presence of breathing anisotropy [Eq. 22] can be straightforwardly recast as a *disjoint* sum of terms, each involving the magnetization $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}$ [Eq. 24] of a tetrahedron \mathcal{T} ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{breathing}} = J_{\text{up}} \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \text{up}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}^2 + J_{\text{down}} \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \text{down}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}}^2 - \text{const.}$$
(26)

It is clear that when J_{up} and J_{down} are both antiferromagnetic, any state in which $\mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbf{0}$ on every up- and down-tetrahedron \mathcal{T} is a classical ground state. Thus, in the presence of a breathing anisotropy, the extensive degeneracy of the isotropic model remains intact, and consequently, the ground state at low-temperatures remains a classical spin liquid [130]. However, as one moves away from the isotropic point $\varphi = \pi/4$, the appearance of the bowtie pattern with decreasing temperature, and the development of the pinch-point singularities in the limit $T \to 0$, becomes progressively slower on approaching the decoupled tetrahedron limit. This is because the correlation length is proportional to the product $J_{\rm up} J_{\rm down} / \tilde{J}^2 = \cos \phi \sin \phi$ [130], and hence, the development of the correlations is slower when closer to the decoupled tetrahedron limit. In Fig. 3, we show the spin susceptibility profile for two values of the breathing anisotropy, $\varphi = 3\pi/16$ and $\varphi = \pi/16$, to enable comparison with Fig. 8 of Ref. [130]. As expected, the development of the bowtie pattern of scattering with sharp singularities as $T \to 0$ becomes progressively slower as one moves towards the decoupled tetrahedron limit.

In the following section, we consider the regime of small spin-S where strong quantum fluctuations are expected to significantly alter the ground-state and nature of the spin-spin correlations.



FIG. 5. (a) The EBZ (a truncated octahedron) of the pyrochlore lattice labelled with the high-symmetry points. (b)-(d) For the S = 1/2 isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet, the RG flow of the susceptibility evaluated at the W-point (b), the **k**-space resolved magnetic susceptibility profiles (in units of $1/J_1$) evaluated at $T/J_1 = 1/100$ and shown in the EBZ (c) and projected onto the [hhl] plane (d).

B. Spin-1/2 model

1. Isotropic case

The investigation of the low-temperature $(T \ll J_1)$ physics of Eq. (22) in the small spin-S regime proves to be of utmost physical interest by virtue of the fact that in this limit the model harbors strong correlations which conspire with amplified quantum fluctuations to set the stage for a potential realization of a quantum spin liquid. However, it is precisely in this regime that the model acquires a notorious reputation for difficulties due to its nonperturbative character which makes the conclusions obtained from perturbative approaches unreliable [17–31, 149]. Herein, we address this problem within the PFFRG framework which is particularly suited for addressing this regime due to its nonperturbative character.

To probe the propensity of the system towards developing long-range magnetic order at any wave vector \mathbf{k} , we track the evolution of the susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ with Λ for all wave vectors \mathbf{k} in the extended Brillouin zone (EBZ) of the pyrochlore lattice. As discussed in Sec. II A, the onset of magnetic long-range order at a particular \mathbf{k} is signalled by the presence of kinks or cusps in the Λ flow of $\chi(\mathbf{k})$, whereas a smooth monotonically increasing behavior of $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ down to $\Lambda \to 0$ points to a quantum disordered ground state. For S = 1/2, we observe that the Λ -evolution of the susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{k}) \forall \mathbf{k} \in \text{EBZ}$ [see Fig. 5(a) for the EBZ] is smooth and displays a monotonically increasing behavior down to $\Lambda \to 0$ with no detectable signatures of an instability or a kink [see also Appendix B]. A numerical maximization of the susceptibility function in the EBZ finds feeble maxima at the highsymmetry W-points, i.e., at $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(2, 1, 0)$ [see Fig. 5(a)]. The RG flow of the susceptibility evaluated at the Wpoint is shown in Fig. 5(b), wherein the smooth nature of the flow gives strong evidence in favour of a quantum paramagnetic ground state of the S = 1/2 quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice, in agreement with previous works [17–27, 29, 30].

The corresponding reciprocal space spin susceptibility profile in the EBZ evaluated at the lowest simulated temperature $T/J_1 = 1/100$ is shown in Fig. 5(c). The profile appears to be of a highly diffusive character along the edges and surfaces of the EBZ. So as to reveal the nature of the correlations, we plot $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ in the [*hhl*]-plane (i.e., $k_x = k_y$ plane) [see Fig. 5(d)], wherein one clearly sees the characteristic bowtie pattern, albeit with a softening and broadening of the pinch-points due to quantum fluctuations [31, 149-153]. Indeed, in the small spin-S regime, the spin-flip exchange processes in the Heisenberg Hamiltonian become important and generate quantum fluctuations which dynamically violate the zero-magnetization per tetrahedron constraint. Since, it is this constraint which is ultimately responsible for the singular and perfectly sharp pinch-points observed in the classical model. its violation in the quantum spin-1/2 model leads to a regularization or a softening of the pinch-point amplitude as their singular character disappears. In addition, quantum fluctuations also generate a finite correlation length ξ for the direct-space spin-spin correlations, such that at distances $r \gg \xi$ the dipolar nature of the correlations changes into an exponential. Consequently, the pinchpoints undergo "broadening", which can be quantified by their full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM). Indeed, the FWHM is determined by the inverse of this correlation length, i.e., FWHM ~ $1/\xi$. In Fig. 7, we show the variation of $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ along the width of the pinch-point, i.e., along the white vertical line in Fig. 6(a), and for S = 1/2the FWHM of the pinch-point is determined to be 1.6π at the lowest simulated temperature $T/J_1 = 1/100$.

Our finding of relatively rounded pinch-points is in agreement with the results of Refs. [25, 26, 31] which also observed pinch points of a similar nature. The fact that the overall bowtie pattern of susceptibility appears rather intact (despite relatively rounded pinch points) lends support to the view that the low-temperature $(T/J_1 = 1/100)$ paramagnetic phase of the S = 1/2nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet respects to a good degree the zero net magnetic moment per tetrahedron constraint, i.e., the "ice rules"—as also found in Ref. [31]. The temperature evolution of the susceptibility in the [hhl]-plane is shown in Fig. 6. To obtain a quantitative picture, we plot in Fig. 8 the susceptibility along a 1D cut (white line in $T/J_1 = 1/100$ plot of Fig. 6)



FIG. 6. The spin susceptibility profile (in units of $1/J_1$) in the [hhl] plane at different temperatures for the S = 1/2 isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

across the width of the pinch point in the bowtie structure. On increasing the temperature by even an order of magnitude, i.e., up to $T/J_1 = 1/10$, it is found that the susceptibility profile and the width of the pinch points remain essentially unchanged. In the temperature range $T/J_1 = 1/10$ till $T/J_1 \sim 1$, the pinch point width is seen to increase (approximately) linearly (see inset of Fig. 8) in contrast to the $T^{1/2}$ behavior expected classically (see Fig. 4). However, the fact that the overall bowtie structure remains relatively intact up till $T \sim J_1$ seems to suggest that the ice-rules govern the physics (to a good degree of accuracy) over a surprisingly large temperature range as also found in Ref. [31]. We have also studied the behavior of the direct-space spin-spin correlations with temperature, and find that for any given distance, it is only their amplitude that varies with temperature, while their signs remain constant over the entire temperature range, in agreement with the findings of Ref. [26]. Also, the signs of *all* correlators up to the 16th neighbor as obtained from PFFRG agree with those obtained in Ta-



FIG. 7. The susceptibilities of the S = 1/2 and S = 1 isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet plotted along the 1*D* cut (see the white line in $T/J_1 = 1/100$ plot in Fig. 6) across the bowtie width at the lowest simulated temperature $T/J_1 = 1/100$.



FIG. 8. The susceptibility of the S = 1/2 isotropic nearestneighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet plotted along the 1Dcut (see the white line in $T/J_1 = 1/100$ plot in Fig. 6) across the bowtie width at different temperatures. The inset shows the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of the curves as a function of temperature.

ble I of Ref. [26]. This is interesting in light of the fact that Ref. [26] evaluates the equal-time spin-spin correlators, i.e., $S(q, \omega)$ integrated over frequency, whereas we compute only $\omega = 0$ correlator, which implies that an integration over frequencies does not change the sign.

Early investigations into the nature of the ground state of the S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet, predominantly based on perturbative approaches in the inter-tetrahedra coupling found the ground-state to be a valence bond crystal [17–24]. Using PFFRG, we probed for possible instabilities of the quantum paramagnet towards valence bond crystal formation. We considered three simple dimerization patterns which respectively break the translational symmetry along (i) all three tetrahedral axes directions (VBC_{3D}), (ii) two tetrahedral axes directions (VBC_{1D}). The dimer response functions $\eta^{\rm P}_{\rm VBC}$ [Eq. (15)] of all three VBCs are found to decrease under the RG flow [see Fig. 9(a) for the RG flow of $\eta^{\rm P}_{\rm VBC}$] which lends support towards the sce-



FIG. 9. The RG flows of the dimer response functions $\eta_{\text{VBC}}^{\text{P}}$ [Eq. (15)] of different valence bond crystals for (a) S = 1/2 and (b) S = 1 isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

nario of a symmetric quantum spin liquid ground state as opposed to the previously proposed scenario of a VBC ground state. The disagreement between our findings and those of previous studies [17–24], which argued for a VBC ground state, is likely explained by the fact that a common thread of these approaches was the inherent symmetry breaking already built-in in the scheme considered therein, which would then bias the conclusion towards a VBC ground state. That being said, here we have only investigated VBCs up to an 8-site unit cell, and the possibility of VBCs with larger unit cells cannot, in principle, be ruled out.

The possibility of occurrence of spin-nematic order in the classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet was discussed in Refs. [3, 4], wherein it was found that the system evades such nematic order [104]. Here, we investigate for the possibility of nematic order [see Sec. II A 2] in the S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model. We plot the RG flow of the nematic response function $\eta_{\rm SN}$ [Eq. 14] in Fig. 10, wherein one observes that $\eta_{\rm SN}$ remains less than one throughout the RG flow (albeit displaying nonmonotonic behavior), and sharply decreases at low temperatures $(T \ll J_1)$. This indicates that the system tends to reject spontaneous breaking of SU(2) spin rotational symmetry via a quadrupolar order parameter in the ground state of the S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor isotropic Heisenberg antiferromagnet. Though our results are at variance with Ref. [154] which argued for a nematic quantum spin liquid featuring spin nematic order in the S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model, we would like to mention that since we a priori exclude the fermionic four-particle vertex from the RG equations and hence we cannot calculate the nematic susceptibility, our calculation of the nematic response function by applying symmetry breaking is approximative in character. Thus, we do not exclude the possibility of the realization of a nematic quantum spin liquid ground state.



FIG. 10. The RG flows of the spin nematic response function $\eta_{\rm SN}$ [Eq. (14)] for the S = 1/2 isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

2. Breathing case

In a breathing pyrochlore system, the ratio of the interto intra-tetrahedra coupling $J_{\rm down}/J_{\rm up}$ provides a convenient interpolation parameter which connects the decoupled tetrahedron and the isotropic limits. It is of interest to investigate the stability of the isotropic model ground state and the evolution of the spin-spin correlations as a function of $J_{\rm down}/J_{\rm up}$. The RG flow of the dominant susceptibility for different values of the breathing anisotropy is shown in Fig. 11(a) wherein we observe a smooth flow down to $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, in similarity with the finding for the isotropic model [see Fig. 5(b)]. Our results thus point to an extended region of parameter space (accessible by tuning $J_{\rm down}/J_{\rm up}$) over which a quantum paramagnetic phase is stabilized. We have also assessed the stability of the paramagnetic phase against dimer-



FIG. 11. The RG flow of the susceptibility tracked at the dominant wave vector for different values of the breathing anisotropy for (a) S = 1/2 and (b) S = 1 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet.



FIG. 12. For the breathing nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet, we plot the susceptibility along the 1D cut (see the white line in the $T/J_1 = 1/100$ panel of Fig. 6) at the lowest simulated temperature $T/J_1 = 1/100$ for (a) S = 1/2and (b) S = 1.

ization into the type of VBC orders considered for the isotropic model, and find that the system rejects the applied symmetry breaking under the RG flow, hinting at a possible quantum spin liquid state. In the strongly anisotropic limit, we cannot totally exclude the possible scenario of a ground state with more involved patterns of symmetry breaking, e.g., lattice nematic order or VBC with a larger unit cell. Indeed, in the S = 1/2 breathing kagome Heisenberg antiferromagnet the situation is contentious: with one work finding VBC [155] while the other finds lattice nematic order [156]. So, further work on the (strongly) anistropic breathing pyrochlore is probably warranted to ascertain whether it remains without VBC or lattice nematic order down to the limit of decoupled tetrahedron. Furthermore, we find that the bowtie pattern of scattering seen in the [hhl] plane is remarkably robust with regard to the introduction of breathing anisotropy, and the width of the bowtie increases only marginally even for strong values of anisotropy [see Fig. 12(a)]. This shows that in the quantum paramagnetic ground state the low-energy physics is approximately governed by the ice-rules.

C. Spin-1 model

1. Isotropic case

Increasing the spin-S from S = 1/2 to S = 1 renders the effects of quantum fluctuations less pronounced, thus favoring conditions amenable for stabilizing long-range magnetic order. Previous investigations of the S = 1Heisenberg antiferromagnet have not been able to reach an unambiguous conclusion regarding the presence or absence of magnetic order [19, 157]. The Λ -evolution of the susceptibility at the **k**-vector where it has its maximum value, i.e., the high-symmetry W-point, is shown in Fig. 13(a). The RG flow is not seen to exhibit any instabilities as would be signalled by the presence of kinks, and on the contrary, appears to be of a smooth character [see Appendix B for an analysis on the detection of possible magnetic instabilities in the S = 1 RG flow]. Similar flow behaviors of the susceptibility are exhibited for all wave vectors $\mathbf{k} \in \text{EBZ}$. These observations lead us to the interesting conclusion that in increased spatial dimensionality (here 3D) if geometric frustration is severe enough, such as on the pyrochlore lattice, then even for S = 1 quantum fluctuations are able to prevent the onset of long-range magnetic order in the Heisenberg antiferromagnet, thereby stabilizing a quantum paramagnetic ground state. The susceptibility profile in the [hhl]-plane is qualitatively similar to the one obtained for S = 1/2, however, the pinch points become slightly sharper as reflected by the decrease in FWHM to 1.42π compared to 1.6 π for S = 1/2, evaluated at the lowest simulated temperature $T/J_1 = 1/100$ [see Fig. 7].

To assess the stability of this paramagnetic phase against spontaneous dimerization, we study the dimer response functions of three candidate VBC states described in Sec. III B. The Λ -evolution of the dimer response functions for the three VBCs [see Fig. 9(b)] shows that, similar to the S = 1/2 case, the system strongly rejects the corresponding applied symmetry breaking. With the present data we cannot, as in the S = 1/2 case, rule out the possibility of VBCs with larger unit cells and more complicate patterns of symmetry breaking being stabilized. Nonetheless, from the current PFFRG results, the predicted ground state would be a quantum spin liquid.

2. Breathing case

Upon tuning a breathing anisotropy, i.e., $J_{\rm down}/J_{\rm up} \neq$ 1, we observe that the RG flows [see Fig. 11(b)] do not develop any signatures of a kink or an instability [as inferred from an analysis based on the method of detection of instabilities as explained in Appendix B down to the strongly anisotropic limit and remain smooth as $\Lambda \to 0$, pointing to the absence of magnetic long-range order. Thus, our results show that even for S = 1, where quantum fluctuations are expected to be less pronounced, there exists an extended region in parameter space hosting a quantum paramagnet which can be accessed from the isotropic point $(J_{\text{down}}/J_{\text{up}} = 1)$ by tuning the breathing anisotropy. We probed this paramagnetic phase for possible VBC instabilities, and found that the system rejects the applied symmetry breaking, however, as in the case of S = 1/2 we do not exclude the possibility of a ground state featuring more elaborate pattern of symmetry breaking [37]. We also observe that the bowtie pattern and the pinch point width remain essentially unchanged compared to the isotropic model [see



FIG. 13. For the isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet, we show, for different values of the spin-S, the RG flow of the susceptibility tracked at the dominant wave vector.

Fig. 12(b)] indicating that the ice-rules continue to dictate the low-energy physics of the quantum paramagnetic ground state even for strong breathing anisotropy.

D. Large spin-S regime

As quantum fluctuations decrease in strength with increasing spin-S, magnetic long-range order might be expected to ultimately prevail. Indeed, we find that for S = 3/2, the RG flow of the dominant susceptibility [see Fig. 13(b)] shows feeble signatures of development of an instability/kink at the point marked by an arrow. This faint feature, appearing in the S = 3/2 RG flow, develops into a pronounced kink (marking the breakdown of the RG flow) for increasing values of S [see Figs. 13(c)and (d)]. The details of the scheme employed to detect the instability/kink are given in Appendix B. Based on this analysis [see Fig. 25] we conclude that for S = 3/2and beyond there is onset of magnetic long-range order in the nearest-neighbor isotropic Heisenberg antiferromagnet. It is worth emphasizing that for finite S values studied in our manuscript, the correct balance between leading 1/S terms and subleading contributions is already incorporated in the PFFRG [see Sec. II A]. For this reason, the PFFRG at any finite S is still well justified even if plain RPA in the large S limit, i.e., treating only leading 1/S diagrams, produces the aforementioned artifact of finite-temperature divergence of the susceptibility [see Fig. 4]. However, with increasing S, the PFFRG becomes numerically more challenging (and also more sensitive to errors) because it becomes progressively difficult to account for the proper interplay between (large) leading 1/S and (much smaller but still important) subleading terms in our numerical algorithm. For this reason we only applied the PFFRG to 'moderate' spin magnitudes smaller than eight and use plain RPA in the infinite Slimit [see Appendix A]. Therefore, we are unable to comment on the long-standing issue of the presence or absence of long-range magnetic order in the large-S quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

Determining the precise nature of the magnetic order (if any) for intermediate values of S constitutes an in-



FIG. 14. The susceptibility of the isotropic nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet plotted along the high-symmetry path evaluated at the lowest simulated temperature $(T/J_1 =$ 1/100) for S = 1/2 and S = 1, and at the critical breakdown temperature (T_c) (marked by arrows) in the RG flows of Fig. 13.

triguing and challenging question which has remained unanswered till date. The problem of the ground state of the large-S quantum antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice was addressed extensively using effective Hamiltonian approaches [10-16]. However, due to the weak selection effects operating at both the harmonic and anharmonic level, no definitive conclusion on the nature of the ground state was reached. Addressing this problem within the PFFRG scheme, we study the evolution of the spin susceptibility profile with increasing values of Sin order to figure out whether quantum fluctuations are successful in distilling a unique (magnetically ordered) ground state with a given wave vector $\mathbf{k} \in EBZ$ out of the extensively degenerate classical ground state manifold. In Fig. 14, we show the variation in the susceptibility along a path passing through the high-symmetry points [see Fig. 5(a)] for increasing S value. One observes that while the susceptibility increases with increasing S, there is no clear enhancement at any given wave vector, and the susceptibility profile remains essentially unchanged compared to that of the S = 1/2 and S = 1 paramagnetic phase, with just an overall enhancement. The absence of pronounced Lorentzian peaks points to the fact that the quantum order-by-disorder selection effects as captured by one-loop PFFRG [72] maybe extremely feeble down to the lowest cutoff/temperature considered, even upon inclusion of higher orders in 1/S embedded within the PFFRG calculation framework [74]. It will be interesting to investigate the large-S limit beyond one loop formulations of PFFRG, e.g., by employing the recently formulated multiloop PFFRG which sums up all parquet diagrams to arbitrary order in the interaction [158–160].

IV. J_1-J_2 HEISENBERG MODEL

A. Classical phase diagram

Given the absence of long-range order at nonzero temperature in the classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg pyrochlore antiferromagnet, any weak perturbations to that model will have strong effects on the thermodynamic and magnetic properties of the system that may result in e.g., magnetic long-range ordering. Indeed, the inclusion of a second-nearest-neighbor Heisenberg coupling J_2 to the classical nearest-neighbor Heisenberg model on the pyrochlore lattice is known to stabilize a plethora of intricate magnetic orders [see Table I and Fig. 15], part of which was investigated in Refs. [38–41], with a full exploration of the $J_1 - J_2$ parameter space reported in Ref. [56]. Despite the fair amount of results available in the literature for this classical $J_1 - J_2$ model, we have found and report below, some corrections and/or amendments to the current knowledge about the classical phases of this system.

We found the J_1-J_2 model to host seven different classical magnetic orders, in addition to a classical spin liquid (cooperative paramagnetic) phase found for the nearestneighbor antiferromagnetic model. Employing an approach which combines a Luttinger-Tisza analysis with an iterative energy minimization on large system sizes of $32\times32\times32$ cubic unit cells (i.e. 524288 spins), we present a refined analysis of the classical phase diagram and the



FIG. 15. The classical phase diagram of the J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model on the pyrochlore lattice. The couplings are parametrized as $J_1 = J\cos(\theta)$ and $J_2 = J\sin(\theta)$ with J an overall energy scale. See Table I for a description of the phases and the location of the phase boundaries.

nature of its magnetic orders. The principal differences in our findings compared to those presented in Ref. [56] can be attributed to the substantially reduced finite-size effects in our calculations compared to those of Ref. [56] which were based on a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ cubic unit cell (1024 sites) system. In addition, we identify within the EBZ of the pyrochlore lattice, the ordering wave vectors of the classical magnetic orders [see Table I] as would be determined in neutron scattering experiments. It is important to discuss these states in detail here since, as we will see in the next section, the quantum (S = 1/2 and S = 1) models harbor the same long-range ordered states.

The pure nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet $(J_2 = 0)$ features an extensively degenerate manifold of classical ground states whose sole shared feature is that the sum of the spins on every tetrahedron is identically zero [see Sec. III A 1]. It was shown in Ref. [2] that an infinitesimal amount of antiferromagnetic second-nearestneighbor coupling $J_2 > 0$ proves sufficient to partially lift this degeneracy by selecting a non-extensive subset of the ground states of the pure nearest-neighbor anitferromagnet. These states are such that the spins within each of the four face-centered cubic (FCC) sublattices of the pyrochlore lattice order ferromagnetically and therefore this state ios dubbed $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$. However, the sublattices are not aligned parallel to each other, but the state preserves the constraint of zero spin sum per tetrahedron resulting in an ordering wave vector at $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(2,0,0)$ and symmetry-related points in the EBZ. This can perhaps be most easily understood by noting that a secondnearest-neighbor interaction J_2 is equivalent to a third nearest-neighbor interaction J_3 of the opposite sign, i.e., $J_3 = -J_2$, as long as every tetrahedron satisfies the zero spin sum ("ice rule") constraint [40]. Since J_3 only couples spins on the same sublattice, it is straightforwardly optimized by selecting states with ferromagnetic ordering within each sublattice. This state turns out to be an exact Luttinger-Tisza eigenstate of the $J^{\mathbf{k}}_{\alpha\beta}$ matrix in Eq. (19) with an energy per spin $E = -2J_1 - 4J_2$. Given that the ordering is fixed only within each sublattice separately, there remains the freedom of choosing the relative orientation of the individual ferromagnetically aligned sublattices while respecting the zero spin sum per tetrahedron constraint. Hence, at T = 0 there exists a ground state degeneracy characterized by three angular degrees of freedom. Therefore, the distribution of spectral weight between the dominant $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(2,0,0)$ type vectors is not fixed. Although, at T = 0 the breaking of the cubic pyrochlore symmetry is not energetically determined by the interactions, however, for finite temperatures entropic effects could select a unique ground state. The relative weights of the dominant peaks in the structure factor then serve as a measure of the collinearity of the sublattices, with the case of only one of them being present corresponding to a fully collinear state. Irrespective of the relative orientation of the sublattices, the ferromagnetic correlations within each of these manifest themselves in the spin structure factor by subdominant

State	Wave vector	Ordering	Classical domain	Quantum $S = 1/2$ domain
Paramagnet				$[345.6^{\circ} \pm 1.8^{\circ}, 12.6^{\circ} \pm 1.8^{\circ}]$
$\mathbf{k} = 0$	$2\pi(2,0,0)$	Coplanar	$(0^{\circ}, 26.56^{\circ}]$	$[12.6^{\circ} \pm 1.8^{\circ}, 26.56^{\circ}]$
Planar Spiral	$2\pi(k,0,0)$	Coplanar	$[26.56^\circ, 145.78^\circ]$	$[26.56^\circ, 151.74^\circ \pm 0.36^\circ]$
Double-Twist	$2\pi(\frac{3}{4},\frac{3}{4},0)$	Noncoplanar	$[145.78^\circ, 154.59^\circ]$	$[151.74^{\circ}\pm0.36^{\circ}, 160.83^{\circ}\pm0.09^{\circ}]$
Multiply Modulated Spiral	$2\pi(\frac{3}{4}^*, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}^*)$	Noncoplanar	$[154.59^\circ, 158.37^\circ]$	$[160.83^\circ\pm 0.09^\circ, 161.91^\circ\pm 0.09^\circ]$
Cuboctahedral stack	$2\pi(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$	Noncoplanar	$[158.37^\circ, 170.30^\circ]$	$[161.91^\circ\pm 0.09^\circ, 171.27^\circ\pm 0.27^\circ]$
Ferromagnet	$2\pi(0, 0, 0)$	Coplanar	$[170.30^\circ, 312.53^\circ]$	$[171.27^{\circ}\pm0.27^{\circ}, 308.61^{\circ}\pm0.27^{\circ}]$
Kawamura	$2\pi(\frac{5}{4}^*,\frac{5}{4}^*,0)$	Noncoplanar	$[312.53^{\circ}, 0^{\circ})$	$[308.61^{\circ} \pm 0.27^{\circ}, 345.6^{\circ} \pm 1.8^{\circ}]$

TABLE I. Classical magnetic long-range ordered phases stabilized in the J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model. The ordering is labelled as coplanar if there exists a subset of states which are coplanar. The wave vector components marked by an asterisk have slight incommensurate deviations within the phase away from the given rational values [see text for details].

peaks of equal intensity at *all* of the $2\pi(1,1,1)$ points at the edge of the EBZ. The spectral weight of any one of the given subdominant peaks is exactly one-eighth of the total weight of the dominant peaks.

The aforementioned $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ state minimizes the energy only in the regime where antiferromagnetic $J_1 > 0$ is dominant over sufficiently weak antiferromagnetic J_2 . Since the J_2 bonds are twice as many as the J_1 bonds, the J_2 interaction becomes dominant when $J_2/J_1 > 1/2$ $(\theta \gtrsim 26.56^{\circ})$, resulting in a phase transition to a *pla*nar spiral ground state with one of the symmetry related $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(k, 0, 0)$ type wave vector as the ordering wave vector. This state is also an eigenstate of the Luttinger-Tisza matrix Eq. (19), thus giving the exact expression $k = (2/\pi) \arccos[-J_1/(4J_2) - 1/2]$ for the wave vector and an energy per spin of $E = -J_1^2/(2J_2) - 6J_2$. This wave vector differs from the one given in Ref. [56] by a factor of 2, which is due to the fact that the transformation done on this state to map it into an equivalent spin chain model [161] was apparently not performed correctly. The pure second-nearest-neighbor antiferromagnet $(J_1 = 0, J_2 = 1)$ also falls into this region and has a 120° spiral structure on each FCC sublattice. Taking into account the relative phases of the spirals between the sublattices, we find a resulting ordering wave vector $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(4/3, 0, 0)$ in the EBZ of the pyrochlore lattice. In the planar spiral, and corresponding to the aforementioned dominant peaks at $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(k, 0, 0)$ type ordering wave vectors, there also exist subdominant peaks at ordering wave vectors of the $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3-k,1,1)$ type in the EBZ. The k and 3 - k entries of the dominant and subdominant ordering wave vectors, respectively, always appear in the same component for each of these wave vector pairs. The subdominant peaks are a signature of the correlations within the FCC sublattices of the pyrochlore lattice and have a fixed relative amplitude of one-quarter of the dominant peak.

The *planar* spiral order is stable against $J_1 < 0$ now becoming ferromagnetic (keeping $J_2 > 0$ antiferromagnetic), up to $J_2/J_1 = -0.68$ ($\theta \approx 145.78^\circ$). Beyond that point, the ground state changes to a noncoplanar structure, the so-called double-twist (DT) state, first uncovered in a frustrated antiferromagnet on an octahedral lattice [161]. Its name derives from the fact that the spins form two different kinds of spirals in two perpendicular directions, but both governed by the same type of wave vector. In reciprocal space, this state features two pairs of $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3/4, 3/4, 0)$ type wave vectors on different reciprocal space planes; the first pair, e.g., could be located in the $k_x - k_y$ plane with $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3/4, 3/4, 0)$ and $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3/4, -3/4, 0)$, and the second pair, e.g., could be located in the $k_y - k_z$ plane with $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi (0, 3/4, 3/4)$ and $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi (0, 3/4, -3/4)$. In the first plane, e.g., the $k_x - k_y$ plane, two dominant peaks in the structure factor are located at the aforementioned wave vectors, and have identical spectral weight. In the second plane, e.g., the $k_y - k_z$ plane, subdominant peaks with ~ 59% of the spectral weight of the dominant ones are located at the aforementioned wave vectors. An approximate parametrization of such a state is given in Ref. [56]. Both pairs of wave vectors control the ordering on the individual FCC sublattices. The relative orientations of the spins on the sublattices leads to the appearance of additional subdominant peaks at $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(5/4, 5/4, 0)$ type wave vectors. For example, corresponding to the pair of dominant peaks in the $k_x - k_y$ plane, there appear a pair of subdominant peaks at wave vectors $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(5/4, 5/4, 0)$ and $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(5/4, -5/4, 0)$ carrying ~ 29% of the amplitude of the dominant peaks. Similarly, corresponding to the pair of subdominant peaks in the $k_y - k_z$ plane, there appear a pair of weaker peaks at wave vectors $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(0, 5/4, 5/4)$ and $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(0, 5/4, -5/4)$ carrying ~ 13% of the amplitude of the dominant peaks (in the $k_x - k_y$ plane). The particular choice of planes chosen for the dominant and subdominant planes is not fixed by the Heisenberg model, but would be determined by the spatial symmetry breaking when entering this phase.

Decreasing antiferromagnetic $J_2 > 0$ further, we encounter a phase transition at $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.475(5)$ ($\theta \approx 154.59^{\circ}$) to a state which is similar to the *multiply modulated commensurate spiral* of Ref. [56], for which the transition point was estimated to be $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.43$. In



FIG. 16. The outer rings show the quantum phase diagrams of the J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model on the pyrochlore lattice for different values of the spin-S. An extended quantum paramagnetic regime is stabilized for S = 1/2 and S = 1. The inner rings correspond to the classical phase diagram. The Heisenberg couplings are parametrized as $J_1 = J\cos(\theta)$ and $J_2 = J\sin(\theta)$.

reciprocal space, this state is characterized by the presence of four dominant commensurate ordering wave vectors of the $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3/4, 1/2, 1/4)$ type in the EBZ, for all of which the 1/2 component is in a common direction. We also find subdominant ordering vectors of the $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3/4, 0, -3/4)$ type; the zero component is the one which is 1/2 in the dominant $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(3/4, 1/2, 1/4)$ wave vectors. This is a consequence of a magnetic structure wherein the spins trace out multiple spirals in different directions in direct space which are controlled by the above wave vectors. Our refined analysis reveals that the observed commensurability of the wave vectors found in Ref. [56] is an artefact of large finite-size effects at play in that work. The imposition of periodic boundary conditions in the simulation of a $L \times L \times L$ cubic unit cell system allows only those **k**-vectors whose components are integer multiples of $2\pi/L$. This implies that an incommensurate ordering wave vector which is proximate to a commensurate one will lead to an observed peak at the commensurate position. Indeed, we find that for $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.47$, the four incommensurate ordering wave vectors of $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(0.81(2), 0.50(2), 0.19(2))$ type evolve continuously (at least within the used k-space numerical resolution of $2\pi/32$) towards the commensurate values which are taken on at the transition point to the cuboctohedral stack (CS) state in Fig. 15. At the same time, the subdominant ordering vector stays unchanged, but its weight relative to the weight of the dominant peak varies from $\sim 26\%$ at its border with the DT state to $\sim 32\%$ at its border to the CS state. Our calculations show that, while the manner in which dominant and subdominant wave vectors control this state does not change, the dominant wave vector it is composed of does evolve as a function of J_2/J_1 . Our findings are also supported by a Luttinger-Tisza analysis which shows that there are incommensurate wave vectors with slightly lower energy close to the commensurate point. In this parameter regime, the Luttinger-Tisza state does not fulfill the strong spin length constraint [see Sec. II B] but needs to be supported by the subdominant wave vectors we find, in order to be able to construct a normalized state. Due to the incommensurability of the dominant wave vector, we shall simply refer to this state as a multiply modulated spiral (MMS).

At $J_2/J_1 = -0.3965(5)$ ($\theta \approx 158.37^\circ$), the MMS state evolves into the cuboctahedral stack (CS) state [56, 161]. Its name derives from the fact that, in a construction of the pyrochlore lattice as a stacking of alternating kagome lattice and triangular lattice layers in a [111] direction, the spins in each kagome layer are arranged such that they point towards the 12 vertices of a cuboctahedron forming a 12-sublattice magnetic structure first found on the kagome lattice [162, 163]. At the same time, the spins on the triangular layers point to the eight midpoints of the triangular faces of the same cuboctahedron. This noncoplanar state is built-up from any three wave vectors of the $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ type, e.g., $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(1/2, 1/2, 1/2), \ \mathbf{k} = 2\pi(-1/2, 1/2, 1/2), \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{k} =$ $2\pi(1/2, -1/2, 1/2)$ with identical spectral weight, and is stacked along the [111] direction parallel to the fourth wave vector of this type, e.g., $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(1/2, 1/2, -1/2)$. The spin configuration in this state can be expressed analytically [see Ref. [56]]. Each of the dominant ordering vectors is accompanied by a subdominant wave vector of $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(1/2, 1/2, 3/2)$ type with ~ 18% of the spectral weight of the dominant vectors. From the parametrization, it follows that the average energy per spin, $E = J_1(3/4 + \sqrt{6}/2)$, is independent of J_2 [an extensive discussion how this originates from the state can be found in Ref. [56]]. Thus, decreasing J_2 further does not change the energy of this state but, rather, lowers the energy of competing states.

At $J_2/J_1 = (-3/8 + \sqrt{6}/12)$ ($\theta \approx 170.30^\circ$), the energy of the ferromagnet becomes lower than that of the

CS state, and occupies the largest extent of the J_1-J_2 parameter space. Just as for the $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ state, the ferromagnetic ordering within the sublattices features subdominant ordering wave vectors at all the $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(1, 1, 1)$ type points in the EBZ, which have a spectral weight of one-quarter of the dominant $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(0, 0, 0)$ vector. The pure J_2 ferromagnet proves to be fairly robust against moderately strong antiferromagnetic J_1 coupling.

For $J_2/J_1 \gtrsim -1.09 \ (\theta \approx 312.53^\circ)$, the J_1 the antiferromagnetic J_1 exchange destroys the ferromagnetic order and a phase transition occurs to a family of states dubbed the Kawamura states after the group which investigated them in great detail [38]. This phase is made up of a family of degenerate ground states with dominant incommensurate wave vectors around the $\mathbf{k} = (k, k, 0)$ points with $k \approx 2\pi(5/4)$ and subdominant ones at $k \approx 2\pi(3/4)$ having $\sim 22\%$ of the spectral weight of the dominant vectors. In addition, we find stronger subdominant ordering at $\mathbf{k} \approx 2\pi (1, 1/4, 7/4)$ type vectors with ~ 55% spectral weight. There are two classes of ground states, composed of either four or all six of the ordering wave vectors, the latter therefore respecting the cubic symmetry of the pyrochlore lattice. In the case of a ground state composed of four of the six wave vectors, the Heisenberg model apriori does not determine which four are selected. A common feature of both these states is that they are superpositions of spirals with the pertinent wave vectors which, when combined, realizes a noncoplanar state. The parameter k for the dominant orderings start with a value $k \approx 2\pi (1.31)$ at the phase boundary to the ferromagnetic state $J_2/J_1 = -1.09$ and approaches $k = 2\pi(5/4)$ as $J_2 \rightarrow 0$. The Kawamura states also approximately fullfill the zero spin sum per tetrahedron constraint, so they can likewise be considered as perturbed eigenstates of the pure J_1 -only antiferromagnetic model.

B. Quantum Phase Diagram

The regime of small spin-S in highly-frustrated magnets harbors strong quantum fluctuations which display intriguing effects such as, (i) melting magnetic orders to potentially realize a quantum spin liquid. (ii) fostering the birth of new kinds of magnetic orders, (iii) shifting the pitch vector of spiral magnetic states and (iv) shifting the phase boundaries relative to that found for the same Hamiltonian in its classical $S \to \infty$ limit. With the aim of investigating these possibilities, we carry out a study of the quantum phase diagram of the J_1-J_2 Heisenberg pyrochlore model for low values of spin-S, which, to the best of our knowledge, had not been performed before the present work. We first address the important question concerning the possibility of stabilizing a quantum paramagnetic phase in the presence of a J_2 coupling. At the classical level, and as discussed in the previous section, it was shown [2] that the presence of an infinitesimal further neighbor J_2 coupling induces long-range magnetic order at low temperatures. However, strong quantum

quantum spin liquid phase be potentially realized. By employing PFFRG, we map out the full J_1-J_2 quantum phase diagram for S = 1/2, S = 1, and S = 3/2, which is shown in Fig. 16. Our most important finding, which is the main result of our work, is the presence of an extended quantum paramagnetic phase for the S = 1/2 model [see Fig. 16(a)] and, perhaps surprisingly, also for the S = 1 model [see Fig. 16(b)]. In Figs. 16(a) and 16(b), quantum fluctuations are seen to melt away a significant portion (around $J_2 = 0$) of the classical domain of existence of the $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ and Kawamura magnetic orders. For S = 1/2, the paramagnet ranges from $-0.25(3) \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.22(3)$, while for S = 1, its span is reduced by half to $-0.11(2) \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.09(2)$, but remains nonetheless appreciable. For S = 1/2, we show the representative RG flows within the paramagnetic regime for a point in the antiferromagnetic J_2 regime [Fig. 17(a)] and one in the ferromagnetic J_2 regime [Fig. 17(b)]). These display a smooth and monotonically increasing behavior with no signatures of a kink, pointing to the absence of magnetic long-range order. The paramagnetic character of the ground state also shows up in the spin susceptibility profile in the form of an absence of sharp maxima in the EBZ which would be a signature of incipient Bragg peaks (IBP) marking the onset of magnetic long range order, along with a diffuse spec-



FIG. 17. The RG flow of the dominant susceptibility inside the paramagnetic regime of the $S = 1/2 J_1 - J_2$ model shown for (a) $J_2/J_1 = 0.1$, (b) $J_2/J_1 = -0.1$ [marked by black circles in Fig. 16(a)], and (c)-(d) their respective spin susceptibility profiles evaluated at the lowest simulated temperature $T/J_1 =$ 1/100.

 $T/J_1 = 1/100$ $T/J_1 = 1/10$ $T/J_1 = 1/2$ $T/J_1 = 1$ $T/J_1 = 2$ 3 2 1 0 100 -47 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0 [*hh*0]

FIG. 18. The spin susceptibility profile (in units of $1/J_1$) in the [hhl] plane shown at different temperatures for the $S = 1/2 J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model. The first row is for antiferromagnetic J_2 (evaluated at $J_2/J_1 = 0.1$) and the second row for ferromagnetic J_2 (evaluated at $J_2/J_1 = -0.1$).

tral weight caused by quantum fluctuations. Indeed, the antiferromagnetic J_2 spin susceptibility profile [see Fig. 17(c) for the S = 1/2 result] displays weak maxima at $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(2,0,0)$ (and symmetry related points), which correspond to the dominant Bragg peak wave vectors of the underlying $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ parent classical magnetic order (see Section IV A). Similarly, the spin susceptibility profile for ferromagnetic J_2 [see Fig. 17(d) for the S = 1/2result] features a smeared distribution of spectral weight forming homogeneous ring-like features on the surface of the Brillouin zone [see Fig. 18 for the [hhl] plane scattering profiles]. Classically, this parameter regime hosts the Kawamura magnetic order with dominant and subdominant Bragg peaks at $\mathbf{k} \approx 2\pi(5/4, 5/4, 0)$ and $\mathbf{k} \approx 2\pi (3/4, 3/4, 0)$ (and symmetry related points). A comparison of the S = 1/2 paramagnetic spin susceptibility profiles, i.e., Fig. 17(c) for J_2 antiferromagnetic and Fig. 17(d) for J_2 ferromagnetic, with those of the respective parent classical magnetic orders, i.e., $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ [Fig. 19(b)] and Kawamura [Fig. 19(h)] states, lends support to the view that the quantum paramagnetic ground state may be viewed as a molten version of the parent magnetic orders under the action of quantum fluctuations.

The inclusion of a J_2 coupling also substantially modifies the nature of the paramagnetic scattering profile at low temperatures [see Fig. 18 for the [hhl] plane scattering]. We find that for antiferromagnetic $J_2 > 0$ there is an enhancement of the pinch point scattering amplitude as found in the corresponding classical model [71], while for ferromagnetic J_2 the scattering intensity at the pinch points is strongly suppressed, and instead redistributes to form a hexagonal cluster pattern of scattering [71]. In Fig. 20, we plot the relative weight of the susceptibility (at $T/J_1 = 1/100$) with respect to its value at the pinch point, i.e., $(\chi/\chi_{\text{pinch point}})$ along a 1*D* cut (marked by a white line in Fig. 18). This clearly reveals the degree of enhancement at the pinch point as an antiferromagnetic J_2 coupling is cranked up, while for ferromagnetic J_2 , we see clearly the drifting of the maxima of susceptibility away from the pinch point and its enhancement at the wave vectors of the Kawamura state. The overall structure of the paramagnetic scattering profile is seen to be robust up till high temperatures $T/J_1 \sim 1$ [see Fig. 18]. Although the above results and discussions are for the quantum paramagnet in the S = 1/2 model, the findings for the S = 1 model differ only quantitatively, and the entire discussion for S = 1/2 holds true for S = 1, albeit for the smaller collective paramagnetic regime of the S = 1 model.

We now move on to the discussion of the magnetically ordered phases in the low spin regime of the J_1-J_2 model. A comparison of the classical and quantum phase diagrams in Fig. 16, shows that *all* the classical magnetic orders are present in the low spin regime of the model. and that no new magnetic orders are found to be stabilized by quantum fluctuations, as was found for the Heisenberg model on the square lattice [164]. Starting our discussion with the $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ order, we find that its span is considerably diminished for the S = 1/2 model [see Table I for phase boundaries], due to the fact that it gives way to an extended paramagnetic phase around the $J_2 = 0$ point. The RG flow of the dominant susceptibility evaluated in the middle of the $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ phase $[J_2/J_1 \approx 0.36$, marked by a black disk in Fig. 16(a)], clearly shows signature of an instability [see Fig. 21], indicating the onset of $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}$ magnetic order with a Néel temperature of $T_c/J_1 \approx 0.39(2)$ which is given by the position of the instability, marked by an arrow in Fig. 21. The spin susceptibility profile evaluated for $J_2/J_1 = 0.36$



FIG. 19. Representative reciprocal-space resolved magnetic susceptibility profiles (in units of $1/J_1$) for different magnetic orders evaluated at the data points marked by black dots in the S = 1/2 quantum phase diagram of Fig. 16(a). Also shown, the Brillouin zone, a "truncated octahedron", with the high-symmetry points labelled.

at the instability point is shown in Fig. 19(b), wherein, one observes the dominant IBP at the high-symmetry X-points [Fig. 19(a)], i.e., $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(2,0,0)$ (and symmetry related points), and the subdominant peaks at the L-points [Fig. 19(a)], i.e., $\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(1,1,1)$, and symmetry related points, are also seen to be clearly resolved. Although both thermal and quantum order from fluctuation effects (order-by-disorder) are in principle captured



FIG. 20. For the $S = 1/2 J_1 - J_2$ model, the susceptibility plotted along the $[hh4\pi]$ cut (white line in Fig. 18) evaluated at $T/J_1 = 1/100$ for different J_2 .

in our simulations [102], we can not make a statement about the collinearity of the ground state, as the PF-FRG in its current formulation does not allow for lattice symmetry breaking, i.e. all symmetry related IBP will have the same height. As discussed in Sec. IV A, classically the collinear $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ state is selected by thermal fluctuations [40] and quantum fluctuations are likely to select the same state [7].

The $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ state undergoes a phase transition at $J_2/J_1 = 1/2$ to an incommensurate planar spiral magnetic order. The RG flow of the dominant susceptibility evaluated deep inside the spiral ordered phase $J_2 = 1$, marked by a black disk in Fig. 16(a)], features an instability at $T_c/J_2 \approx 0.73(3)$ [marked by an arrow in Fig. 21] pointing to the onset of magnetic order at this temperature. The corresponding spin susceptibility profile evaluated at the instability point is shown in Fig. 19(c). We find that the effect of quantum fluctuations on the planar spiral order is two-fold, (i) leads to a shift of the spiral wave vector compared to its classical value [165], and (ii) is found to increase the region of stability of the planar spiral beyond its classical domain. Firstly, concerning the shift in the spiral wave vector, we show in Fig. 22 its evolution across its domain of existence for the classical and the quantum models. The wave vector is found to decrease monotonically as one traverses the spiral domain starting from its boundary with the ${\bf k}={\bf 0}$ to the DT magnetic order. Meanwhile, the shift $|\delta \mathbf{k}| \equiv |\mathbf{k}_{qu}| - |\mathbf{k}_{cl}|$ from the classical \mathbf{k}_{cl} wave vector to the quantum \mathbf{k}_{qu} wave vector changes non-monotonically across the domain of the planar spiral ordered phase [see inset of Fig. 22]. For the most part of the spiral ordered regime, we find that quantum fluctuations always *increase* the wave vector value leading to more antiferromagnetic types of order. The shift $\delta \mathbf{k}$ achieves a maximal value of $\sim 4\%$ of the classical value near the boundary to the **k=0** order. Secondly, concerning the increase in the region of stability of the planar spiral order, we find that there is a strong renormalization of the phase boundary of the planar spiral with the DT order, which gets shifted from its classical value of $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.68$ to $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.537(6)$ for the S = 1/2 model [see Fig. 16(a) and Table I], implying a significant enhancement of the domain of existence of the planar spiral order.

At $J_2/J_1 = -0.537(6)$, the planar spiral gives way to the DT magnetic order, whose RG flow evaluated at $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.43$ [marked by a black disk in Fig. 16(a)] and tracked at the dominant wave vector becomes unstable at $T/|J_1| \approx 0.39(2)$ [marked by an arrow in Fig. 21]. The corresponding spin susceptibility profile is shown in Fig. 19(d) wherein, besides the dominant one, the subdominant peaks are also clearly resolved. We find that the DT phase in the S = 1/2 model occupies a similar extent in parameter space as in the classical model, albeit with displaced phase boundaries. As the ratio J_2/J_1 is lowered, we find that at $J_2/J_1 = -0.347(2)$ the susceptibility at the ordering wave vectors of the MMS phase becomes stronger compared to that at the DT ordering wave vectors, and the MMS order is stabilized. However, the extent of the MMS phase in the S = 1/2 model is reduced to approximately one-third of its classical extent, and thus now occupies only a tiny sliver in parameter space. Just as in the classical model, the IBP of the quantum model are still located at incommensurate wave vectors, which are, however, shifted compared to those of the classical model. In Fig. 21, we show the RG flow evaluated at the optimal quantum wave vectors for $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.335$ [marked by a black disk in Fig. 16(a)], which reveals the onset of magnetic order at a Néel temperature of $T_{\rm c}/|J_1| = 0.39(2)$. The associated spin susceptibility profile is shown in Fig. 19(e). At



FIG. 21. RG flows of the spin susceptibility at the ordering wave vectors of the seven magnetically ordered phases evaluated at the data points marked by black disks in Fig. 16(a). The points at which the solid lines become dashed (marked by arrows) indicate an instability in the flow, indicating an onset of magnetic order.



FIG. 22. The behavior of the classical $|\mathbf{k}_{cl}|$ and quantum $|\mathbf{k}_{qu}|$ ordering wave vectors as a function of $\theta = \arctan(J_2/J_1)$. Inset: Deviation $|\delta \mathbf{k}| = |\mathbf{k}_{qu}| - |\mathbf{k}_{cl}|$ of the ordering wave vector \mathbf{k} from its classical value as a function of θ .

 $J_2/J_1 = -0.326(2)$, the MMS phase ends and the susceptibility at the CS order wave vectors becomes dominant. The CS phase for S = 1/2 has an appreciable extent in parameter space comparable to the classical model, but with shifted phase boundaries. The spin susceptibility profile evaluated for $J_2/J_1 = -0.24$ [see Fig. 19(f)] shows that the dominant IBP is located along the line joining the origin and the high-symmetry L-point, and that the peak undergoes substantial smearing due to quantum fluctuations. The instability feature at $T/|J_1| = 0.39(2)$ in the RG flow [Fig. 21] appears feeble, possibly hinting at the "weakness" of the CS magnetic order. It is of interest to note that the analogous cuboctohedral kagome orders [162, 163] found in Heisenberg models with longrange interactions also display an extremely feeble signal of an instability in their RG flow [89, 90].

Finally, as we lower J_2/J_1 further, the ferromagnetic J_1 coupling becomes dominant enough to drive the system into a ferromagnetic ordered state which onsets at $J_2/J_1 = -0.153(5)$. On comparison with the classical transition boundary at $J_2/J_1 \approx -0.171$, we see that the antiferromagnetic CS order intrudes into a portion of the phase diagram occupied by the ferromagnetic order at the classical level, as expected from general considerations [93, 175]. For the $J_1 = -1$ only model [marked by a black disk in Fig. 16(a)], we show the RG flow of the $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 0)$ susceptibility in Fig. 21, wherein we observe a strong signal of an instability. We obtain an estimate of the critical (Curie) temperature $T_c/|J_1| = 0.77(4)$, which is equal within two error-bars to the Quantum Monte Carlo value of $T/|J_1| = 0.718$ [166] [see Table II for a comparison with other methods]. In Table II, we also provide for comparison the Curie temperatures of the simple cubic lattice which has the same coordination number z = 6 as the pyrochlore lattice but is bipartite. It is of interest to observe that for both the S = 1/2

TABLE II. The critical (Curie) temperatures for the S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor quantum Heisenberg ferromagnet (in units $T_c/|J_1|$) (columns 2–3) and its corresponding classical $(S \to \infty)$ model (in units $T_c/|J_1|S(S + 1))$) (columns 5–6) on the pyrochlore and simple cubic lattices as obtained by PFFRG and compared with estimates obtained from other methods. The fact that $T_c^{\text{Pyro}}/T_c^{\text{SC}} < 1$ can be attributed to finite-temperature frustration effects [166]. We also quote the result in the mean-field approximation (MFA) which is insensitive to the difference between the pyrochlore and simple-cubic lattice since it only depends on the coordination number.

Method	Pyrochlore	Simple cubic	$T_{\rm c}^{\rm Pyro}/T_{\rm c}^{\rm SC}$	Pyrochlore	Simple cubic	$T_{\rm c}^{\rm Pyro}/T_{\rm c}^{\rm SC}$
PFFRG	0.77(4)	0.90(4)	0.86			
QMC/CMC	0.7182(3) [166]	0.839(1) [167, 168]	0.86	1.31695(2) [169]	1.443 [170, 171]	0.91
HTE (Padé)	0.724-0.754 [166]	0.827 [172]	0.88	1.316 - 1.396 [166]	1.438 [172]	0.92
RGM	0.778 [166]	0.926 [166]	0.84	1.172 [166]	1.330 [166]	0.88
RPA	0.872 [173]	0.989 [174]	0.88			
MFA	3/2	3/2	1			

and classical $(S \to \infty)$ models, the Curie temperature of the pyrochlore lattice is lower compared to the simple cubic lattice, a fact which can be attributed to finite temperature frustration effects [166, 172, 176–178]. The spin susceptibility profile [see Fig. 19(g)] also resolves the presence of subdominant IBP at the L-point besides the dominant peak at the Γ -point. As expected, the ferromagnetic phase occupies an entire quadrant of the phase diagram spanning from the limit $J_1 = -1$ till $J_2 = -1$, and only gets destabilized when a significant antiferromagnetic J_1 coupling is added to the $J_2 = -1$ ferromagnetic model. Our PFFRG calculations identify the value of $J_2/J_1 = -1.252(5)$ when the ferromagnetic order gives way to the antiferromagnetic Kawamura state, whereas classically the transition occurs at $J_2/J_1 \simeq -1.09$. Herein, similar to the CS state, we observe that quantum fluctuations extend the region of stability of the antiferromagnetic Kawamura order at the cost of the ferromagnetic state [93, 175]. The optimal wave vectors of the Kawamura state evolve within the region it occupies in the phase diagram, however, their value remains close to $2\pi(5/4, 5/4, 0)$. In Fig. 21, we show the RG flow of the susceptibility evaluated at the optimal wave vectors for $J_2/J_1 = -0.634(4)$ [marked by a black disk in Fig. 16(a)]. The signature of an instability is not very pronounced, and appears to be located around $T_{\rm c}/J_1 = 0.54(2)$. The corresponding spin susceptibility profile is shown in Fig. 19(h), wherein one observes that quantum fluctuations cause a significant diffusing of the spectral weight for both the dominant and subdominant IBP [99].

The quantum phase diagram for the S = 1 model [see Fig. 16(b)] appears qualitatively similar to the one for S = 1/2, with the only differences being quantitative ones, such as the location of the phase boundaries, value of optimal wave vectors, etc. As we gradually increase the value of the spin-S we see that the quantum phase diagram starts going over into the classical one, as is already manifestly apparent for S = 3/2 [see Fig. 16(c)].

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we employed the pseudofermion functional renormalization group (PFFRG) method to investigate the long-standing problem of the effects of quantum fluctuations on the pyrochlore lattice for generic spin-S in a Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbor J_1 and second-nearest-neighbor J_2 couplings. For the spin S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model with spatially isotropic couplings, we find a quantum paramagnetic ground state [Sec. III B 1]. The paramagnet appears robust against potential instabilities towards formation of either valence bond crystal [Fig. 9(a)] or spin-nematic order [Fig. 10], thus providing evidence in support of a quantum spin liquid ground state. The reciprocal space susceptibility plotted in the [hhl]-plane displays the characteristic bowtie pattern [Fig. 5(d)]. However, the dynamic violation of the zero-magnetization per tetrahedron constraint due to quantum fluctuations manifests itself as (i) a regularization or softening of the pinch point amplitude which lose their singular character. (ii) generation of a finite-correlation length ξ which endows the pinch points with a finite-width ~ $1/\xi$ [Fig. 7]. The fact that the bowtie structure of susceptibility appears intact indicates that the low-temperature phase of the S = 1/2 nearestneighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet respects the "ice rules" to a good degree of accuracy. An increase in temperature is seen to be associated with an overall decrease in the scattering intensity while the bowtie pattern appears to be remarkably robust up till $T \sim J_1$ [Fig. 6 and Fig. 8] suggesting that the ice-rules govern the physics over a surprisingly large temperature range. We find that within a significant segment of this temperature range up till $T \sim J_1$, the width of the bowtie as measured by its full-width-at-half-maximum increases (approximately) linearly [Fig. 8].

For the spin S = 1 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet with spatially isotropic couplings [Sec. III C 1], we find that strikingly, the ground state remains magnetically disordered [Fig. 13(a)] with no instability towards dimerizing into a valence-bond crystal structure [Fig. 9(b)], pointing to the realization of a rare

scenario of a S = 1 quantum spin liquid in three dimensions. The formation of the bowtie pattern of scattering now features relatively sharper pinch points, as seen by a decrease in their full-width-at-half-maximum compared to S = 1/2 [Fig. 7]. This is as expected, since with increasing spin, quantum fluctuations decrease in strength, and the ice-rules are better fulfilled. We find that the bowtie structure remains robust up till $T \sim J_1$, similar to what was observed for S = 1/2.

In the presence of breathing anisotropy (of arbitrary strength) in the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet, we find that for both S = 1/2 [Sec. III B 2] and S = 1 [Sec. III C 2], the quantum paramagnetic nature of the ground state remains intact [Fig. 11]. The reciprocal space spin susceptibility profile is still characterized by bowties and the associated "rounded" pinch points whose width is found to remain essentially unchanged from the isotropic point down to the strongly anisotropic limit [Fig. 12]. Our results thus point to the presence of an enlarged region in parameter space over which the low-temperature physics is approximately governed by the ice-rules.

For the nearest-neighbor isotropic Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model with spin S > 1 [Sec. III D], we find that for S = 3/2 and beyond long-range dipolar magnetic order finally sets in [see Fig. 13 and Fig. 25]. We would like to mention that for finite S values studied in our manuscript, the correct balance between leading 1/Sterms and subleading contributions is already incorporated in the PFFRG [see Sec. II A]. However, with increasing S, the PFFRG becomes numerically more challenging (and also more sensitive to errors) because it becomes progressively difficult to account for the proper interplay between (large) leading 1/S and (much smaller but still important) subleading terms in our numerical algorithm. For this reason we only applied the PFFRG to 'moderate' spin magnitudes smaller than eight and use plain RPA in the infinite S limit [see Appendix A]. Therefore, we are unable to unambiguously address the question of the nature of the ground state (presence or absence of long-range magnetic order) in the large-Snearest-neighbor quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

Upon inclusion of a J_2 coupling [Sec. IV], the complete parameter space of the $J_1 - J_2$ Heisenberg model is shown to host seven different kinds of magnetic orders in the classical model [Fig. 15]. We have reported some corrections and/or amendments to previously known results [56] concerning the nature of the magnetic orders and the classical phase diagram [Table I]. For low-values of spin, i.e., S = 1/2 and S = 1, quantum fluctuations are shown to stabilize an extended domain of quantum spin liquid behavior centered around the point $J_1 > 0$ and $J_2 = 0$, i.e., the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet [Fig. 16]. For S = 1/2, the quantum spin liquid ranges from $-0.25(3) \leq J_2/J_1 \leq 0.22(3)$, while for S = 1, its span is reduced by half to $-0.11(2) \leq$ $J_2/J_1 \leq 0.09(2)$, but remains nonetheless appreciable. The introduction of even a small J_2 coupling is seen to

substantially modify the reciprocal space scattering profile at low temperatures such that the bowtie structure and the associated pinch points become quickly obliviated [Fig. 18]. We find that for antiferromagnetic $J_2 > 0$ there is an enhancement of the pinch point scattering amplitude as found in the corresponding classical model [71] [first row in Fig. 18 and Fig. 20], while for ferromagnetic J_2 the scattering intensity at the pinch points is strongly suppressed, and instead redistributes to form a hexagonal cluster pattern of scattering [second row in Fig. 18 and Fig. 20 [71]. Interestingly, we do not observe the stabilization of a paramagnetic phase by frustrating the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg ferromagnet, i.e., in the regime $J_1 < 0$ (FM) and $J_2 > 0$ (AF). The phase boundaries between magnetically ordered phases get significantly modified compared to the classical model [Fig. 16], and the wave vectors of spiral orders get shifted by quantum fluctuations [Fig. 22]. Finally, we provide the Néel and Curie temperatures for different magnetically ordered phases, and for the S = 1/2 nearest-neighbor Heisenberg ferromagnet we benchmark our PFFRG results with available numerically exact quantum Monte Carlo and other methods [Table II].

VI. OUTLOOK AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Our analysis of quantum effects on the pyrochlore lattice lays new avenues towards further exploration in search of novel quantum phases in a more generic symmetry allowed Hamiltonian [152, 153, 179–182] relevant for a large class of materials. Indeed, it has been shown at the classical level that, anisotropic nearest-neighbor spin interactions can stabilize novel phases such as spin liquids and spin nematics, and a plethora of intricate magnetic orders [106, 149, 152, 181, 183, 184]. The simplest extension to a XXZ model has been argued to serve as a minimal model of quantum spin ice [180], and has recently been shown to host spin nematic order and a variety of spin liquid phases, albeit considered *only* at the classical level [106, 184]. Surprisingly, little is known about the role of quantum fluctuations beyond a perturbative treatment [152, 153, 157, 185–190]. In particular, the nature of the competing ordered or disordered quantum phases in the low spin-S regime of the XXZ model remain open questions, and it will be interesting to investigate if, and to what extent, the quantum spin liquid phase of the isotropic model [31] found in this work remains stable in the presence of XXZ anisotropy.

Our identification of extended regimes of quantum spin liquid, and in general quantum paramagnetic behavior in the S = 1/2 and S = 1 models in the presence of breathing anisotropy or J_2 coupling sets the stage for future theoretical and numerical studies aimed at identifying the precise nature of the quantum spin liquid phase, e.g., gapped or gapless spin liquid, and its associated gauge structure, SU(2), U(1), \mathbb{Z}_2 , etc. One promising approach would be to carry out a fermionic projective symmetry group (PSG) classification [191–193] of the meanfield spin liquid states on the pyrochlore lattice for both symmetric [30] and chiral spin liquids [194] similar to what has been accomplished on other lattices [195–198]. The ground-state energies of the corresponding projected variational wave functions could then be calculated from variational Monte Carlo methods [199, 200] enabling one to identify the most competitive variational ground state, which could then be improved by a subsequent application of Lanczos steps to obtain an estimate of the true ground state energy [64, 66, 92, 201, 202]. Recently, the PFFRG method has been successfully combined with a self-consistent Fock-like mean-field scheme to calculate low-energy effective theories for emergent spinon excitations in spin-1/2 quantum spin liquids [203]. In this approach, the two particle vertices, i.e., the effective spin interactions from PFFRG are taken as an input for the Fock equation yielding a self-consistent scheme to determine spinon band structures beyond mean-field. The precise form of such free spinon ansätze are dictated by a projective symmetry group (PSG) classification of quantum spin liquids [191], allowing for a systematic investigation of kinetic spinon properties. It would be of interest and importance to apply this scheme to the pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet, and compare the findings with those of variational Monte Carlo calculations. To address the issue of the nature of the elementary excitations, and in particular, to reveal the possible presence of a spinon continuum which is a manifestation of fractionalization and a hallmark of a quantum spin liquid phase, one needs a knowledge of the dynamical structure factor $S(q, \omega)$. The PFFRG framework can also be formulated directly in the real frequency domain employing the Keldysh formalism, which would allow one to obtain the complete $S(q, \omega)$. We leave the treatment of the Keldysh formalism and its application to the pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnet as an important and exciting future endeavor.

From a materials perspective, a fascinating class of transition metal based fluorides with the pyrochlore structure have recently come into the limelight. This family of materials is at the boundary between quantum spin liquid, magnetic order, and magnetic freezing (or glassy regime). Their importance stems from the availability of large high-quality single crystals. Prominent candidate spin liquid examples include, the S = 1 $NaCaNi_2F_7$ [204] which may be a first realization of a S = 1 quantum spin liquid in three dimensions [205], and the related higher-spin fluoride compounds featuring a high frustration index $(f = \Theta_{\rm CW}/T_{\rm c})$, such as $NaCaCo_2F_7$ [206–208], $NaCaFe_2F_7$, $NaSrFe_2F_7$, and $NaSrMn_2F_7$ [209] which, nonetheless, either show signs of long-range magnetic order at low temperatures or undergo spin-freezing [210]. With the PFFRG formalism in place, it would be useful in such a material context to extend the mapping of the quantum phase diagram in the presence of longer-ranged Heisenberg couplings which will most likely give rise to additional novel phases compared to the seven phases of the classical J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model, as for instance, shown in Ref. [57] for classical spins. It would seem likely that most of the above mentioned materials could be placed to a good degree of approximation in the extended phase diagram so determined.

Given that frustrated quantum spin systems are challenging to deal with theoretically and, in three dimensions, pose a formidable barrier to most quantum manybody numerical methods, PFFRG is one of the very few methods that can be used to shed light on the physics at play in these systems, with the field now poised to benefit from the arrival of more materials. It is in this broader context that we investigated and presented in this paper the rich example of the J_1-J_2 Heisenberg model on the pyrochlore lattice.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Y.I and R.T. thank F. Becca and S. Bieri for useful discussions. Y.I. acknowledges helpful discussions with J. Richter, and thanks O. Derzhko for providing details of the pyrochlore ferromagnet QMC calculations. S.R. acknowledges discussions with D. Inosov, E. Andrade, J. Hoyos, and M. Vojta. The work was supported by the European Research Council through ERC-StG-TOPOLECTRICS-Thomale-336012. T.M. and R.T. thank the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) for financial support through SFB 1170 (project B04). J.R. is supported by the Freie Universität Berlin within the Excellence Initiative of the German Research Foundation. S.R. acknowledges support from the DFG through SFB 1143 and from an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship (FT180100211). The work at the U. of Waterloo was supported by the Canada Research Chair program (M.G., Tier 1) and by the Perimeter Institute (PI) for Theoretical Physics. Research at the Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science. Y.I. acknowledges the kind hospitality of the Helmholtz-Zentrum für Materialien und Energie, Berlin, where part of the work was carried out. We gratefully acknowledge the Gauss Centre for Supercomputing e.V. for funding this project by providing computing time on the GCS Supercomputer SuperMUC at Leibniz Supercomputing Centre (LRZ).

Appendix A: Diagrammatic investigation of the nearest neighbor pyrochlore Heisenberg model in the large S-limit

In this appendix we present further details about how the susceptibility of the nearest-neighbor pyrochlore Heisenberg model in the infinite-S limit as depicted in Fig. 4 has been computed. Particularly, we explain why the simple RPA-type summation which we used to obtain these results reproduces the correct pinch-point singularity but also results in a spurious divergence of the susceptibility at a finite temperature T which is not expected from the exact (numerical) solution [3, 8]. We further present analytical arguments why the summation of further diagram classes can cure this artifact by regularizing the divergence.

We begin by reviewing the PFFRG scheme in the large S limit and explain that, to leading order when $S \to \infty$, the PFFRG becomes identical to a simple RPA-type approximation (for further details see Ref. [74]). As briefly mentioned in Sec. IIA1, the generalization of the PF-FRG for arbitrary spin S amounts to introducing fermion flavors $f_{i\uparrow\kappa}$, $f_{i\downarrow\kappa}$ with $\kappa = \{1, \ldots, 2S\}$ on each lattice site i which add up to a total spin S. Furthermore, to avoid diverging energy scales in the large S limit, it is convenient to renormalize all interactions via $J_{ij} \to J_{ij}/(2S)$. As a consequence of the additional flavor index κ , the Feynman diagrams acquire an extra factor 2S for each closed fermion loop. Hence, when formulating the PF-FRG equations for arbitrary S, the second term on the right-hand side of Fig. 2(b) (the so-called RPA channel) acquires a prefactor 2S, indicating that among all interaction channels in Fig. 2(b), this term is singled-out at large S. The flow equation for the two-particle vertex at $S \to \infty$, where only the RPA term contributes on the right-hand side, can be readily solved [74] and leads to the RPA-type diagram series shown in Fig. 23(a). These two-particle vertex diagrams are precisely the ones, and no others, of leading order in 1/S. This is evident from the fact that, for a given number of interaction lines, they each maximize the number of loops. Specifically, each term of the series has n bare interaction lines and n-1 fermion loops, resulting in an overall order of 1/S.

Having established that, to leading order in 1/S, the PFFRG generically reduces to an RPA-type approximation, we now study the structure of this approximation in the context of the nearest-neighbor pyrochlore Heisenberg model. In the following, we are only interested in the static frequency components ($\omega = 0$) of the two-particle vertex $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2)$. We shall thus omit the arguments $1', 2', \ldots$ and write $\Gamma^{\Lambda}(1', 2'; 1, 2) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\Lambda}_{ij}$ with the site indices i, j as subscripts. Furthermore, the propagators considered are the bare (i.e., without self-energy corrections) and Λ -regularized ones from Eq. (6). The RPA diagram series may be expressed in a self-consistent form [second line of Fig. 23(a)], leading to

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{\Lambda} = -\frac{J_{ij}}{2S} - \sum_{l} \frac{J_{il}}{2S} \Pi^{\Lambda} \Gamma_{lj}^{\Lambda}.$$
 (A1)

Here, Π^{Λ} is the $\omega = 0$ component of the bare fermion loop and is given by $\Pi^{\Lambda} = S/(\pi \Lambda)$. The solution of Eq. (A1) can be obtained via a Fourier-transform, giving

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = -[\Pi^{\Lambda} \mathbb{1} + 2S\widetilde{J}^{-1}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}, \qquad (A2)$$

where $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{k})$ is the interaction matrix in sublattice space as given by Eq. (19). $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ is also analogously defined



FIG. 23. RPA-type approximations for the two-particle vertex in the large S-limit. Dashed lines are the bare interactions J_{ij} and lines with an arrow are the bare and Λ -regularized pseudofermion propagators from Eq. (6). Gray boxes denote the two-particle vertex in different approximations. (a) Plain RPA scheme summing up diagrammatic terms of order 1/S. (b) An example of a contribution to the two-particle vertex of order $(1/S)^2$. (c) Improved RPA scheme, RPA', regularizing the divergence of the two-particle vertex occurring in plain RPA. See text for details.

in sublattice space, and 1 denotes the identity matrix in the same space. To better understand the physical implications of Eq. (A2), we diagonalize $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{k})$ via $M^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})\tilde{J}(\mathbf{k})M(\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{J}_{\rm d}(\mathbf{k})$, where $M(\mathbf{k})$ is a unitary matrix and $\tilde{J}_{\rm d}(\mathbf{k})$ is a diagonal matrix whose elements are the eigenvalues of $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{k})$. It follows

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = -M(\mathbf{k})[\Pi^{\Lambda}\mathbb{1} + 2S\tilde{J}_{\mathrm{d}}^{-1}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}M^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}).$$
(A3)

For the nearest-neighbor pyrochlore Heisenberg antiferromagnetic model, the lowest bands of $\tilde{J}_{\rm d}(\mathbf{k})$ take the form of two degenerate flat modes with an energy $-2J_1$ [2, 113]. As a result of these flat modes, the matrix $\Pi^{\Lambda}\mathbb{1} + 2S\tilde{J}_{\rm d}^{-1}(\mathbf{k})$ in Eq. (A3) becomes singular at $\Lambda = J_1/\pi$ for all wave vectors \mathbf{k} which leads to a diverging susceptibility at the corresponding (finite) temperature $T = \frac{2\pi}{3}S(S+1)\Lambda$. However, as explained further below, this divergence is a methodological artifact of the plain RPA treatment within which only the leading 1/Sdiagrammatic contributions are considered.

The flat modes in $J_{\rm d}(\mathbf{k})$ are also responsible for the pinch point singularities in the susceptibility [140]. To see this, we first note that (up to irrelevant overall factors from fusing external fermion lines) the susceptibility $\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ of Eqs. (10) and (11), rewritten in sublattice coordinates, is related to the two-particle vertex $\tilde{\Gamma}^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ via

$$\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \sum_{\alpha\beta} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\beta})} \tilde{\Gamma}^{\Lambda}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k}).$$
 (A4)

Here, α , β are sublattice indices and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}$ denote the sublattice displacements, i.e., site coordinates \mathbf{r}_i , unit cell coordinates \mathbf{R}_i , and displacements $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}$ fulfilling $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{R}_i + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}$. Since the lowest (flat) modes give the dominant contribution to the susceptibility, and also describe the physics of pinch points we are interested in, we may approximate Eq. (A3) by neglecting higher energy bands in $\tilde{J}_d(\mathbf{k})$. Using Eqs. (A3) and (A4), one then obtains

$$\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \frac{\sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\gamma=\text{f.m.}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\beta})} M_{\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) M_{\gamma\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})}{\frac{S}{\pi\Lambda} - \frac{S}{J_{1}}}, \quad (A5)$$

where $\gamma = \text{f.m.}$ only sums over the flat modes. The numerator in this expression (which has been used to plot the inset of Fig. 4) contains the pinch-point pattern while the denominator produces the aforementioned singularity at finite Λ . This analysis shows that in plain RPA, as obtained from PFFRG in leading order in 1/S, the pinch-points are correctly reproduced. However, their manifestation within this plain RPA scheme is implicitly tied with a divergence of the **k**-dependent susceptibility for all **k** that define the flat modes. Thus, the physically correct paramagnetic (broadened) pinch points observed in plain RPA only exist above the instability and so, their discussion in plain RPA is bounded from below by the instability at $\Lambda = J_1/\pi$.

We now investigate how Eq. (A5) is modified when adding diagrams of order higher than 1/S. Within PF-FRG, such higher orders are generally described by the other interaction channels on the right hand side of Fig. 2(b), i.e., those corrections to RPA which do not contain a fermion loop. In contrast to the leading order in 1/S discussed above, where all diagrammatic contributions to the two-particle vertex are exactly included in the PFFRG, higher orders are only treated approximately. A thorough analytical discussion of all subleading diagrams *implicitly included* within the PFFRG computational scheme is, admittedly, very challenging because already to order $(1/S)^2$, they may not be represented by a simple series of diagrams such as the one shown in Fig. 23(a). Furthermore, from a more technical perspective, it is rather difficult to apply the PFFRG at large but finite S and systematically explore the effects of different diagrammatic orders in 1/S. This is because of numerical difficulties in capturing the subtle competition between large leading 1/S and much smaller, but still important and possibly singular subleading terms, when the frequency dependence of the vertex functions is approximated by a finite grid (which is a computational necessity within PFFRG).

To still be able to investigate general properties of higher diagrammatic orders in 1/S we, therefore, use a different strategy. We take as a starting point the $S \to \infty$ limit (as described above), and then incorporate "by hand" subleading diagrams to study their effects on the spurious divergence encountered in a plain RPA treatment. Subleading diagrams of order $(1/S)^2$ are obtained by feeding back the RPA two-particle vertex into a fermion loop of the RPA series as shown in Fig. 23(b). In the following, we will discuss a generalization of such



FIG. 24. Plot of the Λ -flow of ζ^{Λ} which, in the case of (i) RPA, refers to the denominator of Eq. (A5) and in the case of (ii) RPA', refers to the **k**-independent expression in the second line of Eq. (A11) with $J_1 = x = 1$. Blue and red curves denote spin S = 1000 and S = 10000, respectively. The divergence in RPA at $\Lambda = J_1/\pi$ is regularized in the RPA' scheme. No data is plotted in the interval where the susceptibility becomes imaginary.

terms (dubbed RPA') where (i) the feedback of the RPA takes place in *every* fermion loop and (ii) the insertion is performed self-consistently as shown in Fig. 23(c). The resummation of such diagram classes also involves contributions from orders higher than $(1/S)^2$. This type of approximation first amount to replacing the bare fermion loop Π^{Λ} by $\Pi^{\Lambda} + \Pi'^{\Lambda}$ where Π'^{Λ} is the loop diagram with the RPA series reinserted as depicted in Fig. 23(c). Using the fact that only the *local* two-particle vertex Γ_{ii}^{Λ} contributes to this diagram, one finds

$$\Pi^{\prime\Lambda} = \frac{S}{4\pi\Lambda^2} \Gamma^{\Lambda}_{ii} = \frac{S}{4\pi\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\text{BZ}} d^3k \tilde{\Gamma}^{\Lambda}_{11}(\mathbf{k}).$$
(A6)

Without loss of generality, we have chosen the "11"sublattice component of the two-particle vertex since all sublattices are equivalent in the paramagnetic regime. Also note that in order for the calculation to be analytically tractable, we have performed a static approximation where the two-particle vertex is assumed to be ω -independent. The self-consistency for Π'^{Λ} is closed using Eq. (A3) and replacing $\Pi^{\Lambda} \to \Pi^{\Lambda} + \Pi'^{\Lambda}$, giving

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) = \left\{ -M(\mathbf{k}) \left[\Pi^{\Lambda} \mathbb{1} + \Pi'^{\Lambda} \mathbb{1} + 2SJ_{\mathrm{d}}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}) \right]^{-1} M^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \right\}_{11}$$
(A7)

Here again, we only consider the contribution from the flat modes in $J_{\rm d}(\mathbf{k})$ and neglect higher energy bands. Furthermore, we write the momentum integral (which is a *positive* dimensionless number) as

$$x \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\text{BZ}} d^3k \sum_{\gamma=\text{f.m.}} M_{1\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) M_{\gamma 1}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$$
(A8)

and again use $\Pi^{\Lambda} = S/(\pi \Lambda)$, leading to

$$\Pi^{\prime\Lambda} = -\frac{Sx}{4\pi\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{\frac{S}{\pi\Lambda} + \Pi^{\prime\Lambda} - \frac{S}{J_1}}.$$
 (A9)



FIG. 25. (a) Illustration of the scheme for determining kinks in the Λ -dependent susceptibility flow: one divides a fixed Λ -interval in two regions, I and II, which by construction lie between two adjacent pairs of susceptibility kinks/peaks. Within each region, $\chi(\mathbf{k})$ is approximated by a tangent connecting the neighboring kinks. We find that while the angle between the two tangents is negligible for S = 1, it acquires a sizable finite value for S = 3, implying that two curves represent different phases. To obtain a more quantitatively robust measure for the size of the kink we have considered additional pairs of adjacent peaks, and computed the angles between their tangents, and calculated the average angle $\bar{\alpha}$. (b) Averaged angle $\bar{\alpha}$ as a function of spin-S. While $\bar{\alpha}$ is negligible and almost constant for S = 1/2 and S = 1 (labelled by filled circles), there is a pronounced increase for higher values of spin $S \ge 3/2$ (empty circles). Based on this behavior, we estimate the phase transition of the spin-S nearest neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet to occur for S = 3/2.

This is a quadratic equation for Π'^Λ which can be solved to yield the susceptibility

$$\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \frac{\sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\gamma=\text{f.m.}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha}-\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\beta})} M_{\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) M_{\gamma\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})}{\frac{S}{\pi\Lambda} + \Pi^{\prime\Lambda} - \frac{S}{J_{1}}},$$
(A10)

where, when compared to Eq. (A5), an additional contribution from $\Pi^{\prime\Lambda}$ appears in the denominator. From the two solutions for $\Pi^{\prime\Lambda}$ following from Eq. (A9), the correct one is identified by the condition that the leading order at large S must be a contribution $\sim 1/S$ as is the case for the bare RPA. One then obtains

$$\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k}) \sim \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\gamma=\text{f.m.}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\beta})} M_{\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{k}) M_{\gamma\beta}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$$
$$\times \frac{2\Lambda}{x} \left[\left(\frac{\pi\Lambda}{J_1} - 1 \right) - \text{sgn} \left(\frac{\pi\Lambda}{J_1} - 1 \right) \sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi\Lambda}{J_1} - 1 \right)^2 - \frac{\pi x}{S}} \right]$$
(A11)

Most importantly, this expression *no longer* has a divergence in Λ while the pinch-point pattern given by the **k**-dependent term [first line of Eq. (A11) and numerator of Eq. (A5) which generate the pinch points] persists. The Λ -dependent second line of Eq. (A11) is plotted in Fig. 24 for S = 1000 and S = 10000. It can be seen that the diverging susceptibility of the RPA scheme is regularized by the higher order terms such that $\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ becomes bounded in the vicinity of the singularity. Yet, certain artifacts still remain in the RPA' scheme such as

a step-like behavior of the susceptibility and a finite interval where $\chi^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{k})$ becomes imaginary (the size of this interval shrinks with increasing S). We expect that such spurious behavior would become further regularized upon including more diagrammatic contributions.

In summary, even though this analysis is based on an approximate resummation of a certain class of diagrams, it demonstrates that higher order terms have a significant effect even in the large S limit and may counteract the diverging susceptibility observed in the bare RPA calculation leading to Eq. (A5). This calculation also shows that – even though counterintuitive at first sight – leading 1/S diagrams are not sufficient to treat the classical limit $S \to \infty$ exactly. One may, therefore, conclude that while the spatial structure of the spin correlations at large S is already correctly described by plain RPA, thermal fluctuations are much more intricate in pseudofermionic formulation. This may possibly indicate that pseudofermions are not ideally suited to describe the thermodynamics of spin systems in the classical large S limit. We also emphasize, however, that such methodological subtleties do not affect the PFFRG at finite (but not too large) S where the correct balance between classical magnetic phenomena and quantum fluctuations is captured by the interplay between leading 1/S and leading 1/N diagrammatic contributions (where N generalizes the spin symmetry group from SU(2) to SU(N), see Sec. IIA1 for details).

Appendix B: Detecting a magnetic instability in the RG flow

Here, we present the details of the numerical procedure [98] used to detect the onset of long-range magnetic order in the RG flow. The expected divergence of the spin susceptibility [Eq. (11)] at a critical Λ which would signal the spontaneous breaking of SU(2) spin-rotation symmetry towards long-range dipolar magnetic order is, in practice, regularized due to two numerical approximations in the PFFRG method, (i) the discretization of the frequencies in the arguments of the vertex functions, and (ii) the finite spatial extent of the two-particle vertex function. Both these approximations regularize the divergence to a *finite* maximum, or a feeble kinklike feature when the ordered magnetic moment is small. In addition, the discretization of the frequencies induces the artefact of oscillations in the susceptibility flow, especially at small Λ . The distinct advantage of the method presented here lies in its ability to detect such kinks even in the presence of pronounced frequency oscillations and a small ordered magnetic moment. To illustrate the method, we focus on the transition with increasing spin-S, from the paramagnetic into the magnetically ordered phase, for the nearest-neighbor Heisenberg antiferromagnet.

The appearance of a finite-maxima or a kinklike feature in the susceptibility evolution with decreasing Λ is

marked by a change in the slope of the RG flow. However, as the susceptibility flow is plagued by oscillations due to frequency discretization, one encounters a difficulty in defining the slope. As each discrete frequency grid point produces a small peak or an upturn in the susceptibility flow, we compute the slope in a manner that averages out these oscillations. To this effect, one connects two adjacent peaks via a straight line which represents a tangent of the susceptibility and approximates $\gamma(\mathbf{k})$ between the two peaks. A kink in the RG flow now manifests as a change in the slope, i.e., a finite-angle α , between the two neighboring tangents, as shown in Fig. 25(a), which then serves as a measure of the size of the kink. We first choose a fixed Λ -interval wherein multiple kinks, potentially representing magnetic instabilities, appear to be located. We then consider tangents between different pairs of adjacent peaks and calculate the average $\bar{\alpha}$ of the absolute value of these angles within a given Λ -interval. The angle $\bar{\alpha}$ then serves as a relatively robust quantitative measure of the change in slope (i.e., the size of the kink) within this Λ -interval; a larger $\bar{\alpha}$ implying a more pronounced kink. To locate the phase transition, we plot $\bar{\alpha}$ as a function of the spin-S [see Fig. 25(b)]. For S = 1/2and S = 1, we observe a small and constant value of $\bar{\alpha} \approx 1.6^{\circ}$, followed by a sudden increase at S = 3/2 indicating a transition point to magnetic long-range ordered state.

- Jacques Villain, "Insulating spin glasses," Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter 33, 31–42 (1979).
- [2] J. N. Reimers, A. J. Berlinsky, and A.-C. Shi, "Meanfield approach to magnetic ordering in highly frustrated pyrochlores," Phys. Rev. B 43, 865–878 (1991).
- [3] R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, "Properties of a Classical Spin Liquid: The Heisenberg Pyrochlore Antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2929–2932 (1998).
- [4] R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, "Low-temperature properties of classical geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets," Phys. Rev. B 58, 12049–12062 (1998).
- [5] Villain, J., Bidaux, R., Carton, J.-P., and Conte, R., "Order as an effect of disorder," J. Phys. France 41, 1263–1272 (1980).
- [6] E. F. Shender, "Antiferromagnetic garnets with fluctuationally interacting sublattices," Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 83, 326 (1982).
- [7] Christopher L. Henley, "Ordering due to disorder in a frustrated vector antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2056–2059 (1989).
- [8] J. N. Reimers, "Absence of long-range order in a threedimensional geometrically frustrated antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 45, 7287–7294 (1992).
- [9] M. P. Zinkin, D. Phil. thesis (University of Oxford, 1996).
- [10] C L Henley, "Effective hamiltonians and dilution effects in kagome and related anti-ferromagnets," Canadian Journal of Physics 79, 1307–1321 (2001).
- [11] R.R. Sobral and C. Lacroix, "Order by disorder in the pyrochlore antiferromagnets," Solid State Communica-

tions **103**, 407 – 409 (1997).

- [12] Hirokazu Tsunetsugu, "Quantum fluctuations in geometrically frustrated antiferromagnet," Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 63, 1325 – 1328 (2002).
- [13] Christopher L. Henley, "Order by disorder and gaugelike degeneracy in a quantum pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 047201 (2006).
- [14] U. Hizi and C. L. Henley, "Effective hamiltonian for the pyrochlore antiferromagnet: Semiclassical derivation and degeneracy," Phys. Rev. B 73, 054403 (2006).
- [15] Uzi Hizi and Christopher L Henley, "Effective hamiltonians for large- s pyrochlore antiferromagnets," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 19, 145268 (2007).
- [16] U. Hizi and C. L. Henley, "Anharmonic ground state selection in the pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 80, 014407 (2009).
- [17] A. B. Harris, A. J. Berlinsky, and C. Bruder, "Ordering by quantum fluctuations in a strongly frustrated heisenberg antiferromagnet," Journal of Applied Physics 69, 5200–5202 (1991).
- [18] Makoto Isoda and Shigeyoshi Mori, "Valence-bond crystal and anisotropic excitation spectrum on 3dimensionally frustrated pyrochlore," Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 67, 4022–4025 (1998).
- [19] Akihisa Koga and Norio Kawakami, "Frustrated heisenberg antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. B 63, 144432 (2001).
- [20] Hirokazu Tsunetsugu, "Antiferromagnetic quantum spins on the pyrochlore lattice," Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 70, 640–643 (2001).

- [21] Hirokazu Tsunetsugu, "Spin-singlet order in a pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 65, 024415 (2001).
- [22] Erez Berg, Ehud Altman, and Assa Auerbach, "Singlet excitations in pyrochlore: A study of quantum frustration," Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 147204 (2003).
- [23] O. Tchernyshyov, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, "Flux expulsion and greedy bosons: Frustrated magnets at large n," EPL (Europhysics Letters) 73, 278 (2006).
- [24] R. Moessner, S. L. Sondhi, and M. O. Goerbig, "Quantum dimer models and effective hamiltonians on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. B 73, 094430 (2006).
- [25] B. Canals and C. Lacroix, "Pyrochlore antiferromagnet: A three-dimensional quantum spin liquid," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2933–2936 (1998).
- [26] Benjamin Canals and Claudine Lacroix, "Quantum spin liquid: The heisenberg antiferromagnet on the threedimensional pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. B 61, 1149– 1159 (2000).
- [27] B Canals and D A Garanin, "Spin-liquid phase in the pyrochlore anti-ferromagnet," Canadian Journal of Physics 79, 1323–1331 (2001).
- [28] J.-B. Fouet, M. Mambrini, P. Sindzingre, and C. Lhuillier, "Planar pyrochlore: A valence-bond crystal," Phys. Rev. B 67, 054411 (2003).
- [29] Jung Hoon Kim and Jung Hoon Han, "Chiral spin states in the pyrochlore heisenberg magnet: Fermionic meanfield theory and variational monte carlo calculations," Phys. Rev. B 78, 180410 (2008).
- [30] F. J. Burnell, Shoibal Chakravarty, and S. L. Sondhi, "Monopole flux state on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. B 79, 144432 (2009).
- [31] Yuan Huang, Kun Chen, Youjin Deng, Nikolay Prokof'ev, and Boris Svistunov, "Spin-ice state of the quantum heisenberg antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 177203 (2016).
- [32] B. Normand and Z. Nussinov, "Hubbard model on the pyrochlore lattice: A 3d quantum spin liquid," Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 207202 (2014).
- [33] B. Normand and Z. Nussinov, "Fermionic spinon and holon statistics in the pyrochlore quantum spin liquid," Phys. Rev. B 93, 115122 (2016).
- [34] A. J. García-Adeva and D. L. Huber, "Quantum tetrahedral mean field theory of the magnetic susceptibility for the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4598– 4601 (2000).
- [35] Yasufumi Yamashita and Kazuo Ueda, "Spin-driven jahn-teller distortion in a pyrochlore system," Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4960–4963 (2000).
- [36] Hirokazu Tsunetsugu, "Theory of antiferromagnetic heisenberg spins on a breathing pyrochlore lattice," Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics 2017, 033I01 (2017).
- [37] Yasufumi Yamashita, Kazuo Ueda, and Manfred Sigrist, "Parity-broken ground state for the spin-1 pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 13, L961 (2001).
- [38] Daisuke Tsuneishi, Masayuki Ioki, and Hikaru Kawamura, "Novel ordering of the pyrochlore heisenberg antiferromagnet with the ferromagnetic next-nearestneighbour interaction," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 19, 145273 (2007).
- [39] Tota Nakamura and Dai Hirashima, "Classical antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice," Journal of Mag-

netism and Magnetic Materials **310**, 1297 – 1299 (2007).

- [40] Gia-Wei Chern, R. Moessner, and O. Tchernyshyov, "Partial order from disorder in a classical pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 78, 144418 (2008).
- [41] Tsuyoshi Okubo, Trung Hai Nguyen, and Hikaru Kawamura, "Cubic and noncubic multiple-q states in the Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. B 84, 144432 (2011).
- [42] S. E. Palmer and J. T. Chalker, "Order induced by dipolar interactions in a geometrically frustrated antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 62, 488–492 (2000).
- [43] Maged Elhajal, Benjamin Canals, Raimon Sunyer, and Claudine Lacroix, "Ordering in the pyrochlore antiferromagnet due to dzyaloshinsky-moriya interactions," Phys. Rev. B 71, 094420 (2005).
- [44] Gia-Wei Chern, "Noncoplanar magnetic ordering driven by itinerant electrons on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 226403 (2010).
- [45] S. T. Bramwell, M. J. P. Gingras, and J. N. Reimers, "Order by disorder in an anisotropic pyrochlore lattice antiferromagnet," Journal of Applied Physics 75, 5523– 5525 (1994).
- [46] R. Moessner, "Relief and generation of frustration in pyrochlore magnets by single-ion anisotropy," Phys. Rev. B 57, R5587–R5589 (1998).
- [47] Kiyosi Terao, "Effect of lattice distortions upon the spin configuration of antiferromagnetic ymn 2 with c15 structure," Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 65, 1413–1417 (1996).
- [48] Oleg Tchernyshyov, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, "Order by distortion and string modes in pyrochlore antiferromagnets," Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 067203 (2002).
- [49] C. Pinettes, B. Canals, and C. Lacroix, "Classical heisenberg antiferromagnet away from the pyrochlore lattice limit: Entropic versus energetic selection," Phys. Rev. B 66, 024422 (2002).
- [50] O. Tchernyshyov, "Structural, orbital, and magnetic order in vanadium spinels," Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 157206 (2004).
- [51] Gia-Wei Chern, C. J. Fennie, and O. Tchernyshyov, "Broken parity and a chiral ground state in the frustrated magnet Cdcr₂o₄," Phys. Rev. B **74**, 060405 (2006).
- [52] Doron L. Bergman, Ryuichi Shindou, Gregory A. Fiete, and Leon Balents, "Models of degeneracy breaking in pyrochlore antiferromagnets," Phys. Rev. B 74, 134409 (2006).
- [53] L Bellier-Castella, M JP Gingras, P CW Holdsworth, and R Moessner, "Frustrated order by disorder: The pyrochlore anti-ferromagnet with bond disorder," Canadian Journal of Physics 79, 1365–1371 (2001).
- [54] T. E. Saunders and J. T. Chalker, "Spin freezing in geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets with weak disorder," Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 157201 (2007).
- [55] A. Andreanov, J. T. Chalker, T. E. Saunders, and D. Sherrington, "Spin-glass transition in geometrically frustrated antiferromagnets with weak disorder," Phys. Rev. B 81, 014406 (2010).
- [56] M. F. Lapa and C. L. Henley, "Ground States of the Classical Antiferromagnet on the Pyrochlore Lattice," ArXiv e-prints (2012), arXiv:1210.6810 [cond-mat.strel].
- [57] Y. V. Tymoshenko, Y. A. Onykiienko, T. Müller, R. Thomale, S. Rachel, A. S. Cameron, P. Y. Port-

nichenko, D. V. Efremov, V. Tsurkan, D. L. Abernathy, J. Ollivier, A. Schneidewind, A. Piovano, V. Felea, A. Loidl, and D. S. Inosov, "Pseudo-goldstone magnons in the frustrated s = 3/2 heisenberg helimagnet zncr₂se₄ with a pyrochlore magnetic sublattice," Phys. Rev. X 7, 041049 (2017).

- [58] U. Schollwöck, "The density-matrix renormalization group," Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 259–315 (2005).
- [59] E.M. Stoudenmire and Steven R. White, "Studying twodimensional systems with the density matrix renormalization group," Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 3, 111–128 (2012).
- [60] J. D. Reger and A. P. Young, "Monte carlo simulations of the spin-(1/2 heisenberg antiferromagnet on a square lattice," Phys. Rev. B 37, 5978–5981 (1988).
- [61] Anders W. Sandvik and Juhani Kurkijärvi, "Quantum monte carlo simulation method for spin systems," Phys. Rev. B 43, 5950–5961 (1991).
- [62] W. L. McMillan, "Ground state of liquid he⁴," Phys. Rev. **138**, A442–A451 (1965).
- [63] D. Ceperley, G. V. Chester, and M. H. Kalos, "Monte carlo simulation of a many-fermion study," Phys. Rev. B 16, 3081–3099 (1977).
- [64] Yasir Iqbal, Federico Becca, Sandro Sorella, and Didier Poilblanc, "Gapless spin-liquid phase in the kagome spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 87, 060405 (2013).
- [65] Yasir Iqbal, Federico Becca, and Didier Poilblanc, "Projected wave function study of F_2 spin liquids on the kagome lattice for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ quantum heisenberg antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 84, 020407 (2011).
- [66] Yasir Iqbal, Didier Poilblanc, and Federico Becca, "Vanishing spin gap in a competing spin-liquid phase in the kagome heisenberg antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 89, 020407 (2014).
- [67] Daniel P. Arovas and Assa Auerbach, "Functional integral theories of low-dimensional quantum heisenberg models," Phys. Rev. B 38, 316–332 (1988).
- [68] Martin P. Gelfand and Rajiv R. P. Singh, "High-order convergent expansions for quantum many particle systems," Advances in Physics 49, 93–140 (2000).
- [69] Yasir Iqbal, Ronny Thomale, Francesco Parisen Toldin, Stephan Rachel, and Johannes Reuther, "Functional renormalization group for three-dimensional quantum magnetism," Phys. Rev. B 94, 140408 (2016).
- [70] M. P. Zinkin, M. J. Harris, and T. Zeiske, "Short-range magnetic order in the frustrated pyrochlore antiferromagnet csnicrf₆," Phys. Rev. B 56, 11786–11790 (1997).
- [71] P. H. Conlon and J. T. Chalker, "Absent pinch points and emergent clusters: Further neighbor interactions in the pyrochlore heisenberg antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 81, 224413 (2010).
- [72] Johannes Reuther and Peter Wölfle, " J_1 - J_2 frustrated two-dimensional Heisenberg model: Random phase approximation and functional renormalization group," Phys. Rev. B **81**, 144410 (2010).
- [73] A. A. Abrikosov, "Electron scattering on magnetic impurities in metals and anomalous resistivity effects," Physics 2, 5–20 (1965).
- [74] M. L. Baez and J. Reuther, "Numerical treatment of spin systems with unrestricted spin length s: A functional renormalization group study," Phys. Rev. B 96, 045144 (2017).
- [75] Heisenberg systems (on any lattice) with S = 1 and

single-ion anisotropies $\Delta \sum_i (S_i^z)^2$ provide a simple exception wherein if Δ is positive (and sufficiently large) this term would always energetically prefer the unphysical spin sector S = 0 over all other sectors.

- [76] Christof Wetterich, "Exact evolution equation for the effective potential," Physics Letters B 301, 90 – 94 (1993).
- [77] Walter Metzner, Manfred Salmhofer, Carsten Honerkamp, Volker Meden, and Kurt Schönhammer, "Functional renormalization group approach to correlated fermion systems," Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 299–352 (2012).
- [78] C. Platt, W. Hanke, and R. Thomale, "Functional renormalization group for multi-orbital Fermi surface instabilities," Advances in Physics 62, 453–562 (2013).
- [79] The class of diagrams representing the *leading order* in 1/S contributions, which are thus of random phase approximation (RPA)-type and responsible for the formation of classical magnetic order, are summed up *exactly*. Similarly, the class of diagrams capturing contributions to *leading order* in 1/N, and thus responsible for the formation of nonmagnetic states, are also summed up *exactly*. However, an accurate treatment of the $S \to \infty$ limit may require a consideration of subleading terms in 1/S, thus going beyond a bare RPA treatment [see Appendix A].
- [80] Subir Sachdev and N. Read, "Large n expansion for frustrated and doped quantum antiferromagnets," International Journal of Modern Physics B 05, 219–249 (1991).
- [81] A. A. Katanin, "Fulfillment of ward identities in the functional renormalization group approach," Phys. Rev. B 70, 115109 (2004).
- [82] Johannes Reuther and Ronny Thomale, "Cluster functional renormalization group," Phys. Rev. B 89, 024412 (2014).
- [83] Johannes Reuther and Ronny Thomale, "Functional renormalization group for the anisotropic triangular antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 83, 024402 (2011).
- [84] Johannes Reuther, Dmitry A. Abanin, and Ronny Thomale, "Magnetic order and paramagnetic phases in the quantum J_1 - J_2 - J_3 honeycomb model," Phys. Rev. B 84, 014417 (2011).
- [85] Johannes Reuther, Peter Wölfle, Rachid Darradi, Wolfram Brenig, Marcelo Arlego, and Johannes Richter, "Quantum phases of the planar antiferromagnetic $J_1 - J_2 - J_3$ Heisenberg model," Phys. Rev. B 83, 064416 (2011).
- [86] Johannes Reuther, Ronny Thomale, and Simon Trebst, "Finite-temperature phase diagram of the Heisenberg-Kitaev model," Phys. Rev. B 84, 100406 (2011).
- [87] Yogesh Singh, S. Manni, J. Reuther, T. Berlijn, R. Thomale, W. Ku, S. Trebst, and P. Gegenwart, "Relevance of the heisenberg-kitaev model for the honeycomb lattice iridates A₂iro₃," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 127203 (2012).
- [88] Johannes Reuther, Ronny Thomale, and Stephan Rachel, "Spiral order in the honeycomb iridate Li₂IrO₃," Phys. Rev. B **90**, 100405 (2014).
- [89] Raik Suttner, Christian Platt, Johannes Reuther, and Ronny Thomale, "Renormalization group analysis of competing quantum phases in the J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on the kagome lattice," Phys. Rev. B **89**, 020408 (2014).
- [90] Yasir Iqbal, Harald O. Jeschke, Johannes Reuther, Roser Valentí, I. I. Mazin, Martin Greiter, and Ronny

Thomale, "Paramagnetism in the kagome compounds $(Zn, Mg, Cd)Cu_3(OH)_6Cl_2$," Phys. Rev. B **92**, 220404 (2015).

- [91] Christian Balz, Bella Lake, Johannes Reuther, Hubertus Luetkens, Rico Schönemann, Thomas Herrmannsdörfer, Yogesh Singh, A. T. M. Nazmul Islam, Elisa M. Wheeler, Jose A. Rodriguez-Rivera, Tatiana Guidi, Giovanna G. Simeoni, Chris Baines, and Hanjo Ryll, "Physical realization of a quantum spin liquid based on a complex frustration mechanism," Nat. Phys. 12, 942 (2016).
- [92] Yasir Iqbal, Wen-Jun Hu, Ronny Thomale, Didier Poilblanc, and Federico Becca, "Spin liquid nature in the heisenberg $J_1 - J_2$ triangular antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B **93**, 144411 (2016).
- [93] Yasir Iqbal, Pratyay Ghosh, Rajesh Narayanan, Brijesh Kumar, Johannes Reuther, and Ronny Thomale, "Intertwined nematic orders in a frustrated ferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 94, 224403 (2016).
- [94] Finn Lasse Buessen and Simon Trebst, "Competing magnetic orders and spin liquids in two- and threedimensional kagome systems: Pseudofermion functional renormalization group perspective," Phys. Rev. B 94, 235138 (2016).
- [95] Finn Lasse Buessen, Dietrich Roscher, Sebastian Diehl, and Simon Trebst, "Functional renormalization group approach to SU(n) heisenberg models: Real-space renormalization group at arbitrary n," Phys. Rev. B **97**, 064415 (2018).
- [96] Dietrich Roscher, Finn Lasse Buessen, Michael M. Scherer, Simon Trebst, and Sebastian Diehl, "Functional renormalization group approach to SU(n) heisenberg models: Momentum-space renormalization group for the large-n limit," Phys. Rev. B **97**, 064416 (2018).
- [97] Finn Lasse Buessen, Max Hering, Johannes Reuther, and Simon Trebst, "Quantum spin liquids in frustrated spin-1 diamond antiferromagnets," Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 057201 (2018).
- [98] Max Hering and Johannes Reuther, "Functional renormalization group analysis of Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya and Heisenberg spin interactions on the kagome lattice," Phys. Rev. B 95, 054418 (2017).
- [99] Yasir Iqbal, Tobias Müller, Kira Riedl, Johannes Reuther, Stephan Rachel, Roser Valentí, Michel J. P. Gingras, Ronny Thomale, and Harald O. Jeschke, "Signatures of a gearwheel quantum spin liquid in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ pyrochlore molybdate heisenberg antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. Materials 1, 071201 (2017).
- [100] S. Chillal, Y. Iqbal, H. O. Jeschke, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, R. Bewley, P. Manuel, D. Khalyavin, P. Steffens, R. Thomale, A. T. M. N. Islam, J. Reuther, and B. Lake, "A quantum spin liquid based on a new three-dimensional lattice," ArXiv e-prints (2017), arXiv:1712.07942 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [101] Ahmet Keleş and Erhai Zhao, "Absence of long-range order in a triangular spin system with dipolar interactions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 187202 (2018).
- [102] Yasir Iqbal, Tobias Müller, Harald O. Jeschke, Ronny Thomale, and Johannes Reuther, "Stability of the spiral spin liquid in mnsc₂s₄," Phys. Rev. B 98, 064427 (2018).
- [103] Daniel I. Khomskii, Basic Aspects of the Quantum Theory of Solids. Order and Elementary Excitations (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).

- [104] Nic Shannon, Karlo Penc, and Yukitoshi Motome, "Nematic, vector-multipole, and plateau-liquid states in the classical o(3) pyrochlore antiferromagnet with biquadratic interactions in applied magnetic field," Phys. Rev. B 81, 184409 (2010).
- [105] A. F. Andreev and I. A. Grishchuk, "Spin nematics," JETP Lett. **60**, 267 (1984).
- [106] Mathieu Taillefumier, Owen Benton, Han Yan, L. D. C. Jaubert, and Nic Shannon, "Competing spin liquids and hidden spin-nematic order in spin ice with frustrated transverse exchange," Phys. Rev. X 7, 041057 (2017).
- [107] Kenneth Millard and Harvey S. Leff, "Infinite?spin limit of the quantum heisenberg model," Journal of Mathematical Physics 12, 1000–1005 (1971).
- [108] Elliott H. Lieb, "The classical limit of quantum spin systems," Communications in Mathematical Physics 31, 327–340 (1973).
- [109] J. M. Luttinger and L. Tisza, "Theory of Dipole Interaction in Crystals," Phys. Rev. 70, 954–964 (1946).
- [110] J. M. Luttinger, "A Note on the Ground State in Antiferromagnetics," Phys. Rev. 81, 1015–1018 (1951).
- [111] T. A. Kaplan and N. Menyuk, "Spin ordering in threedimensional crystals with strong competing exchange interactions," Philosophical Magazine 87, 3711–3785 (2007).
- [112] Itamar Kimchi and Ashvin Vishwanath, "Kitaev-Heisenberg models for iridates on the triangular, hyperkagome, kagome, fcc, and pyrochlore lattices," Phys. Rev. B 89, 014414 (2014).
- [113] E.F. Bertaut, "Configurations magnitudes. mthode de fourier," Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 21, 256 - 279 (1961).
- [114] Z. Nussinov, "Commensurate and Incommensurate O(n) Spin Systems: Novel Even-Odd Effects, A Generalized Mermin-Wagner-Coleman Theorem, and Ground States," ArXiv e-prints (2001), arXiv:condmat/0105253 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [115] A. N. Yaresko, "Electronic band structure and exchange coupling constants in ACr_2X_4 spinels (A = Zn, Cd, Hg; X = O, S, Se)," Phys. Rev. B **77**, 115106 (2008).
- [116] W.K. Unger, H. Gbel, L. Treitinger, and H. Pink, "Magnetic susceptibility of semiconducting culxinxcr2s4 spinels," Physica B+C 80, 62 - 68 (1975).
- [117] Yoshihiko Okamoto, Gøran J. Nilsen, J. Paul Attfield, and Zenji Hiroi, "Breathing pyrochlore lattice realized in *a*-site ordered spinel oxides ligacr₄08 and liincr₄08," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 097203 (2013).
- [118] Yu Tanaka, Makoto Yoshida, Masashi Takigawa, Yoshihiko Okamoto, and Zenji Hiroi, "Novel phase transitions in the breathing pyrochlore lattice: ⁷Li–NMR on liincr₄o₈ and ligacr₄o₈," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 227204 (2014).
- [119] Yoshihiko Okamoto, Gøran J. Nilsen, Taishi Nakazono, and Zenji Hiroi, "Magnetic phase diagram of the breathing pyrochlore antiferromagnet ligal?xinxcr408," Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 84, 043707 (2015).
- [120] Gøran. J. Nilsen, Yoshihiko Okamoto, Takatsugu Masuda, Juan Rodriguez-Carvajal, Hannu Mutka, Thomas Hansen, and Zenji Hiroi, "Complex magnetostructural order in the frustrated spinel liincr₄0₈," Phys. Rev. B **91**, 174435 (2015).
- [121] Fei-Ye Li, Yao-Dong Li, Yong Baek Kim, Leon Balents, Yue Yu, and Gang Chen, "Weyl magnons in breathing

pyrochlore antiferromagnets," Nature Communications 7, 12691 EP - (2016).

- [122] S. Lee, S.-H. Do, W.-J. Lee, Y. S. Choi, M. Lee, E. S. Choi, A. P. Reyes, P. L. Kuhns, A. Ozarowski, and K.-Y. Choi, "Multistage symmetry breaking in the breathing pyrochlore lattice li(ga, in)cr₄o₈," Phys. Rev. B **93**, 174402 (2016).
- [123] Rana Saha, Francois Fauth, Maxim Avdeev, Paula Kayser, Brendan J. Kennedy, and A. Sundaresan, "Magnetodielectric effects in *a*-site cation-ordered chromate spinels $\operatorname{Lim}\operatorname{Cr}_4o_8$ ($m = \operatorname{Ga}$ and in)," Phys. Rev. B **94**, 064420 (2016).
- [124] Kazushi Aoyama and Hikaru Kawamura, "Spin-latticecoupled order in heisenberg antiferromagnets on the pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 257201 (2016).
- [125] R. Wawrzyńczak, Y. Tanaka, M. Yoshida, Y. Okamoto, P. Manuel, N. Casati, Z. Hiroi, M. Takigawa, and G. J. Nilsen, "Classical spin nematic transition in liga_{0.95}in_{0.05}cr₄0₈," Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 087201 (2017).
- [126] Yoshihiko Okamoto, Daisuke Nakamura, Atsushi Miyake, Shojiro Takeyama, Masashi Tokunaga, Akira Matsuo, Koichi Kindo, and Zenji Hiroi, "Magnetic transitions under ultrahigh magnetic fields of up to 130 t in the breathing pyrochlore antiferromagnet liincr₄ o_8 ," Phys. Rev. B **95**, 134438 (2017).
- [127] Yoshihiko Okamoto, Masaki Mori, Naoyuki Katayama, Atsushi Miyake, Masashi Tokunaga, Akira Matsuo, Koichi Kindo, and Koshi Takenaka, "Magnetic and structural properties of a-site ordered chromium spinel sulfides: Alternating antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions in the breathing pyrochlore lattice," Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 87, 034709 (2018).
- [128] G. Pokharel, A. F. May, D. S. Parker, S. Calder, G. Ehlers, A. Huq, S. A. J. Kimber, H. Suriya Arachchige, L. Poudel, M. A. McGuire, D. Mandrus, and A. D. Christianson, "Negative thermal expansion and magnetoelastic coupling in the breathing pyrochlore lattice material ligacr₄s₈," Phys. Rev. B **97**, 134117 (2018).
- [129] Motohiko Ezawa, "Higher-order topological insulators and semimetals on the breathing kagome and pyrochlore lattices," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 026801 (2018).
- [130] Owen Benton and Nic Shannon, "Ground state selection and spin-liquid behaviour in the classical heisenberg model on the breathing pyrochlore lattice," Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 84, 104710 (2015).
- [131] K. Kimura, S. Nakatsuji, and T. Kimura, "Experimental realization of a quantum breathing pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 90, 060414 (2014).
- [132] J. G. Rau, L. S. Wu, A. F. May, L. Poudel, B. Winn, V. O. Garlea, A. Huq, P. Whitfield, A. E. Taylor, M. D. Lumsden, M. J. P. Gingras, and A. D. Christianson, "Anisotropic exchange within decoupled tetrahedra in the quantum breathing pyrochlore ba₃yb₂zn₅o₁₁," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 257204 (2016).
- [133] Lucile Savary, Xiaoqun Wang, Hae-Young Kee, Yong Baek Kim, Yue Yu, and Gang Chen, "Quantum spin ice on the breathing pyrochlore lattice," Phys. Rev. B 94, 075146 (2016).
- [134] P. W. Anderson, "Ordering and antiferromagnetism in ferrites," Phys. Rev. 102, 1008–1013 (1956).
- [135] Christopher L. Henley, "The coulomb phase in frus-

trated systems," Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 1, 179–210 (2010).

- [136] Frank H. Stillinger and Martha A. Cotter, "Local orientational order in ice," The Journal of Chemical Physics 58, 2532–2541 (1973).
- [137] R. W. Youngblood and J. D. Axe, "Polarization fluctuations in ferroelectric models," Phys. Rev. B 23, 232–238 (1981).
- [138] C. L. Henley, "Polarization fluctuations in ferroelectric models," Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37, 441 (1992, APS March Meeting).
- [139] David A. Huse, Werner Krauth, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, "Coulomb and liquid dimer models in three dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 167004 (2003).
- [140] S. V. Isakov, K. Gregor, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, "Dipolar spin correlations in classical pyrochlore magnets," Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 167204 (2004).
- [141] John M. Hopkinson, Sergei V. Isakov, Hae-Young Kee, and Yong Baek Kim, "Classical antiferromagnet on a hyperkagome lattice," Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 037201 (2007).
- [142] T. Fennell, S. T. Bramwell, D. F. McMorrow, P. Manuel, and A. R. Wildes, "Pinch points and kasteleyn transitions in kagome ice," Nat Phys 3, 566–572 (2007).
- [143] J. D. M. Champion, *Ph.D. thesis* (University of London, 2001).
- [144] J. D. M. Champion, M. J. Harris, P. C. W. Holdsworth, A. S. Wills, G. Balakrishnan, S. T. Bramwell, E. Čižmár, T. Fennell, J. S. Gardner, J. Lago, D. F. McMorrow, M. Orendáč, A. Orendáčová, D. McK. Paul, R. I. Smith, M. T. F. Telling, and A. Wildes, "er₂ti₂o₇ : evidence of quantum order by disorder in a frustrated antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 68, 020401 (2003).
- [145] J D M Champion and P C W Holdsworth, "Soft modes in the easy plane pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 16, S665 (2004).
- [146] M. E. Zhitomirsky, M. V. Gvozdikova, P. C. W. Holdsworth, and R. Moessner, "Quantum order by disorder and accidental soft mode in er₂ti₂o₇," Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 077204 (2012).
- [147] Paul A. McClarty, Pawel Stasiak, and Michel J. P. Gingras, "Order-by-disorder in the xy pyrochlore antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 89, 024425 (2014).
- [148] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S.L. Sondhi, "Spin ice, fractionalization, and topological order," Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 3, 35–55 (2012).
- [149] Han Yan, Owen Benton, Ludovic Jaubert, and Nic Shannon, "Theory of multiple-phase competition in pyrochlore magnets with anisotropic exchange with application to yb₂ti₂o₇, er₂ti₂o₇, and er₂sn₂o₇," Phys. Rev. B **95**, 094422 (2017).
- [150] Owen Benton, Olga Sikora, and Nic Shannon, "Seeing the light: Experimental signatures of emergent electromagnetism in a quantum spin ice," Phys. Rev. B 86, 075154 (2012).
- [151] Owen Benton, L. D. C. Jaubert, Han Yan, and Nic Shannon, "A spin-liquid with pinch-line singularities on the pyrochlore lattice," Nature Communications 7, 11572 EP – (2016).
- [152] Shigeki Onoda and Yoichi Tanaka, "Quantum fluctuations in the effective pseudospin- $\frac{1}{2}$ model for magnetic pyrochlore oxides," Phys. Rev. B 83, 094411 (2011).
- [153] SungBin Lee, Shigeki Onoda, and Leon Balents, "Generic quantum spin ice," Phys. Rev. B 86, 104412

(2012).

- [154] Owen Benton, L. D. C. Jaubert, Rajiv R. P. Singh, Jaan Oitmaa, and Nic Shannon, "Quantum spin ice with frustrated transverse exchange: From a π -flux phase to a nematic quantum spin liquid," Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 067201 (2018).
- [155] Yasir Iqbal, Didier Poilblanc, Ronny Thomale, and Federico Becca, "Persistence of the gapless spin liquid in the breathing kagome heisenberg antiferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 97, 115127 (2018).
- [156] Cécile Repellin, Yin-Chen He, and Frank Pollmann, "Stability of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ kagome ground state with breathing anisotropy," Phys. Rev. B **96**, 205124 (2017).
- [157] Gang Chen, "Spectral periodicity of the spinon continuum in quantum spin ice," Phys. Rev. B 96, 085136 (2017).
- [158] Fabian B. Kugler and Jan von Delft, "Multiloop functional renormalization group that sums up all parquet diagrams," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 057403 (2018).
- [159] Fabian B. Kugler and Jan von Delft, "Multiloop functional renormalization group for general models," Phys. Rev. B 97, 035162 (2018).
- [160] F. B. Kugler and J. von Delft, "Derivation of exact flow equations from the self-consistent parquet relations," ArXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1807.02898 [cond-mat.strel].
- [161] Sophia R. Sklan and Christopher L. Henley, "Nonplanar ground states of frustrated antiferromagnets on an octahedral lattice," Phys. Rev. B 88, 024407 (2013).
- [162] J.-C. Domenge, P. Sindzingre, C. Lhuillier, and L. Pierre, "Twelve sublattice ordered phase in the $J_1 - J_2$ model on the kagomé lattice," Phys. Rev. B **72**, 024433 (2005).
- [163] L. Messio, C. Lhuillier, and G. Misguich, "Lattice symmetries and regular magnetic orders in classical frustrated antiferromagnets," Phys. Rev. B 83, 184401 (2011).
- [164] Philippe Sindzingre, L Seabra, Nic Shannon, and Tsutomu Momoi, "Phase diagram of the spin-1/2 j 1 j 2 j 3 heisenberg model on the square lattice with ferromagnetic j 1," Journal of Physics: Conference Series 145, 012048 (2009).
- [165] A V Chubukov, "On the quantum effects in helimagnets," Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 17, L991 (1984).
- [166] Patrick Müller, Andre Lohmann, Johannes Richter, Oleg Menchyshyn, and Oleg Derzhko, "Thermodynamics of the pyrochlore heisenberg ferromagnet with arbitrary spin s," Phys. Rev. B 96, 174419 (2017).
- [167] Matthias Troyer, Fabien Alet, and Stefan Wessel, "Histogram methods for quantum systems: from reweighting to wang-landau sampling," Brazilian Journal of Physics 34, 377–383 (2004).
- [168] Stefan Wessel, "Critical entropy of quantum heisenberg magnets on simple-cubic lattices," Phys. Rev. B 81, 052405 (2010).
- [169] Konstantin Soldatov, Konstantin Nefedev, Yukihiro Komura, and Yutaka Okabe, "Large-scale calculation of ferromagnetic spin systems on the pyrochlore lattice," Physics Letters A 381, 707 – 712 (2017).
- [170] P. Peczak, Alan M. Ferrenberg, and D. P. Landau, "High-accuracy monte carlo study of the threedimensional classical heisenberg ferromagnet," Phys. Rev. B 43, 6087–6093 (1991).

- [171] Kun Chen, Alan M. Ferrenberg, and D. P. Landau, "Static critical behavior of three-dimensional classical heisenberg models: A high-resolution monte carlo study," Phys. Rev. B 48, 3249–3256 (1993).
- [172] Andre Lohmann, Heinz-Jürgen Schmidt, and Johannes Richter, "Tenth-order high-temperature expansion for the susceptibility and the specific heat of spin-s heisenberg models with arbitrary exchange patterns: Application to pyrochlore and kagome magnets," Phys. Rev. B 89, 014415 (2014).
- [173] T. Hutak, P. Müller, J. Richter, T. Krokhmalskii, and O. Derzhko, "The spin-1/2 heisenberg ferromagnet on the pyrochlore lattice: A green's function study," Condens. Matter Phys. 21, 33705 (2018).
- [174] S.V. Tyablikov, Methods in the Quantum Theory of Magnetism (Plenum Press, 1967).
- [175] É.L. Nagaev, "First-order magnetic phase transitions and meta-magnetism of quantum origin," JETP Lett. 39, 484 (1984).
- [176] D. Schmalfuß, J. Richter, and D. Ihle, "Green's function theory of quasi-two-dimensional spin-half heisenberg ferromagnets: Stacked square versus stacked kagomé lattices," Phys. Rev. B 72, 224405 (2005).
- [177] Patrick Müller, Johannes Richter, Andreas Hauser, and Dieter Ihle, "Thermodynamics of the frustrated j1-j2 heisenberg ferromagnet on the body-centered cubic lattice with arbitrary spin," The European Physical Journal B 88, 159 (2015).
- [178] P. Müller, J. Richter, and D. Ihle, "Thermodynamics of frustrated ferromagnetic spin-¹/₂ heisenberg chains: Role of interchain coupling," Phys. Rev. B 95, 134407 (2017).
- [179] S. H. Curnoe, "Quantum spin configurations in tb₂ti₂o₇," Phys. Rev. B **75**, 212404 (2007).
- [180] Kate A. Ross, Lucile Savary, Bruce D. Gaulin, and Leon Balents, "Quantum excitations in quantum spin ice," Phys. Rev. X 1, 021002 (2011).
- [181] S. Petit, E. Lhotel, S. Guitteny, O. Florea, J. Robert, P. Bonville, I. Mirebeau, J. Ollivier, H. Mutka, E. Ressouche, C. Decorse, M. Ciomaga Hatnean, and G. Balakrishnan, "Antiferroquadrupolar correlations in the quantum spin ice candidate pr₂zr₂o₇," Phys. Rev. B 94, 165153 (2016).
- [182] Shigeki Onoda and Yoichi Tanaka, "Quantum melting of spin ice: Emergent cooperative quadrupole and chirality," Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 047201 (2010).
- [183] O. Benton, *Ph.D. thesis* (University of Bristol, 2014).
- [184] Karim Essafi, Owen Benton, and L. D. C. Jaubert, "Generic nearest-neighbor kagome model: Xyz and dzyaloshinskii-moriya couplings with comparison to the pyrochlore-lattice case," Phys. Rev. B 96, 205126 (2017).
- [185] Michael Hermele, Matthew P. A. Fisher, and Leon Balents, "Pyrochlore photons: The u(1) spin liquid in a $s = \frac{1}{2}$ three-dimensional frustrated magnet," Phys. Rev. B **69**, 064404 (2004).
- [186] Lucile Savary and Leon Balents, "Coulombic quantum liquids in spin-1/2 pyrochlores," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 037202 (2012).
- [187] Lucile Savary, Kate A. Ross, Bruce D. Gaulin, Jacob P. C. Ruff, and Leon Balents, "Order by quantum disorder in er2ti207," Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 167201 (2012).
- [188] Lucile Savary and Leon Balents, "Spin liquid regimes at nonzero temperature in quantum spin ice," Phys. Rev.

B 87, 205130 (2013).

- [189] Zhihao Hao, Alexandre G. R. Day, and Michel J. P. Gingras, "Bosonic many-body theory of quantum spin ice," Phys. Rev. B 90, 214430 (2014).
- [190] Jianlong Fu, Jeffrey G. Rau, Michel J. P. Gingras, and Natalia B. Perkins, "Fingerprints of quantum spin ice in raman scattering," Phys. Rev. B 96, 035136 (2017).
- [191] Xiao-Gang Wen, "Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids," Phys. Rev. B 65, 165113 (2002).
- [192] X. G. Wen, "Mean-field theory of spin-liquid states with finite energy gap and topological orders," Phys. Rev. B 44, 2664–2672 (1991).
- [193] X. G. Wen, "Topological orders in rigid states," International Journal of Modern Physics B 04, 239–271 (1990).
- [194] Samuel Bieri, Claire Lhuillier, and Laura Messio, "Projective symmetry group classification of chiral spin liquids," Phys. Rev. B 93, 094437 (2016).
- [195] Biao Huang, Yong Baek Kim, and Yuan-Ming Lu, "Interplay of nonsymmorphic symmetry and spin-orbit coupling in hyperkagome spin liquids: Applications to na₄ir₃o₈," Phys. Rev. B **95**, 054404 (2017).
- [196] Biao Huang, Wonjune Choi, Yong Baek Kim, and Yuan-Ming Lu, "Classification and properties of quantum spin liquids on the hyperhoneycomb lattice," Phys. Rev. B 97, 195141 (2018).
- [197] Yuan-Ming Lu, Ying Ran, and Patrick A. Lee, "F₂," Phys. Rev. B 83, 224413 (2011).
- [198] Yuan-Ming Lu, "Symmetric Z_2 spin liquids and their neighboring phases on triangular lattice," Phys. Rev. B **93**, 165113 (2016).
- [199] Seiji Yunoki and Sandro Sorella, "Two spin liquid phases in the spatially anisotropic triangular heisenberg model," Phys. Rev. B 74, 014408 (2006).
- [200] F. Becca and S. Sorella, *Quantum Monte Carlo Approaches for Correlated Systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- [201] Wen-Jun Hu, Federico Becca, Alberto Parola, and Sandro Sorella, "Direct evidence for a gapless Z_2 spin liquid by frustrating néel antiferromagnetism," Phys. Rev. B

88, 060402 (2013).

- [202] Federico Becca, Wen-Jun Hu, Yasir Iqbal, Alberto Parola, Didier Poilblanc, and Sandro Sorella, "Lanczos steps to improve variational wave functions," J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 640, 012039 (2015).
- [203] M. Hering, J. Sonnenschein, Y. Iqbal, and J. Reuther, "Characterization of quantum spin liquids and their spinon band structures via functional renormalization," ArXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1806.05021 [cond-mat.strel].
- [204] J. W. Krizan and R. J. Cava, "NaCaNi₂f₇," Phys. Rev. B **92**, 014406 (2015).
- [205] K. W. Plumb, H. J. Changlani, A. Scheie, S. Zhang, J. W. Kriza, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, Y. Qiu, B. Winn, R. J. Cava, and C. L. Broholm, "Continuum of quantum fluctuations in a three-dimensional S = 1 Heisenberg magnet," ArXiv e-prints (2017), arXiv:1711.07509 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [206] J. W. Krizan and R. J. Cava, "nacaco₂f₇," Phys. Rev. B 89, 214401 (2014).
- [207] K. A. Ross, J. M. Brown, R. J. Cava, J. W. Krizan, S. E. Nagler, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, and M. B. Stone, "Single-ion properties of the $S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}$ xy antiferromagnetic pyrochlores Na $A' \cos_{17} (A' = \operatorname{ca}^{2+}, \operatorname{sr}^{2+})$," Phys. Rev. B **95**, 144414 (2017).
- [208] R. Sarkar, J. W. Krizan, F. Brückner, E. C. Andrade, S. Rachel, M. Vojta, R. J. Cava, and H.-H. Klauss, "Spin freezing in the disordered pyrochlore magnet nacaco₂f₇: Nmr studies and monte carlo simulations," Phys. Rev. B **96**, 235117 (2017).
- [209] M B Sanders, J W Krizan, K W Plumb, T M Mc-Queen, and R J Cava, "NaSrMn₂F₇, NaCaFe₂F₇, and NaSrFe₂F₇: novel single crystal pyrochlore antiferromagnets," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 29, 045801 (2017).
- [210] Eric C. Andrade, José A. Hoyos, Stephan Rachel, and Matthias Vojta, "Cluster-glass phase in pyrochlore xy antiferromagnets with quenched disorder," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 097204 (2018).