Coexistence and Interaction of Spinons and Magnons in an Antiferromagnet with Alternating Antiferromagnetic and Ferromagnetic Quantum Spin Chains
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In conventional quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnets with quantum spins, magnetic excitations are carried by either magnons or spinons in different energy regimes: they do not coexist independently, nor could they interact with each other. In this Letter, by combining inelastic neutron scattering, quantum Monte Carlo simulations, and random phase approximation calculations, we report the discovery and discuss the physics of the coexistence of magnons and spinons and their interactions in Botallackite-Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br. This is a unique quantum antiferromagnet consisting of alternating ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 chains with weak interchain couplings. Our study presents a new paradigm where one can study the interaction between two different types of magnetic quasiparticles: magnons and spinons.
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In conventional magnets with magnetic long range order (LRO), low-energy excitations are carried by spin waves, represented by massless bosons called magnons with $S = 1$ [1]. However, in one-dimensional (1D) antiferromagnetic quantum spin systems, quantum fluctuations destroy LRO in the ground state. Such systems cannot be described using mean-field theory such as the standard Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson theory [2]. As a result, the low-energy excitations in these systems behave quite differently from their higher-dimensional counterparts. One of the prototypical systems is the Heisenberg antiferromagnetic quantum spin-1/2 chain, where the low-energy excitations are carried by pairs of deconfined spinons [3–16]. In contrast to magnons, spinons possess fractional spin $S = 1/2$ which could be thought of as propagating domain walls [3,4]. On the other hand, materials hosting ferromagnetic quasi-1D spin-1/2 chains are quite rare and the magnetic quasiparticles of ferromagnetic quantum spin chains are magnons [17,18].

Importantly, interaction between different quasiparticles has been an exciting research topic. In many cases, such interactions often lead to novel electronic and magnetic phenomena. For instance, electron-phonon interaction plays an essential role in the formation of Cooper pairs in conventional superconductors [19], while magnons have been proposed as the glue for Cooper pairs in unconventional superconductors [20]. In some metallic magnets, it has been found that electron-skyrmion interactions give rise to topological Hall effect [21], which provides a new route for spintronic applications. However, to date there is no report on the interaction between two different types of magnetic quasiparticles.

In this Letter we report our observation of the coexistence and interaction of spinons and magnons in a quasi-1D antiferromagnetic insulator Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br using inelastic neutron scattering measurements. These two different magnetic quasiparticles arise from the peculiar orbital ordering and spin structure of Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br, which consists of nearly decoupled, alternating antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic chains of Cu$^{2+}$ ions with spin-1/2. The antiferromagnetic chains support spinons and the ferromagnetic chains support magnons. Using both quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations and random phase approximation (RPA) calculations, we demonstrate evidence of magnon-spinon interactions via the weak but finite interchain couplings. To the best of our knowledge, such an interaction between two different magnetic quasiparticles has not been investigated even in theory due to the unusual nature of the spin structure. Our study thus opens up a new research arena and calls for further experimental and theoretical studies.

Figures 1(a), 1(b) depict the crystal structure of Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br, which is indicative of the quasi-two-dimensional nature with the neighboring Cu-Cu distance...
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along the c axis much larger than those in the ab plane. The Cu2+ magnetic ions in the ab plane form a distorted triangular lattice with two inequivalent Cu sites: Each Cu1 site has 4 Cu-O bonds and 2 Cu-Br bonds while each Cu2 site has 5 Cu-O bonds and 1 Cu-Br bond. As will be discussed later, the differences in the local geometry (caused by the ordering of Br ions) of these two Cu sites are crucial: they determine the nature of orbital ordering (partially occupied d orbitals) of Cu1 and Cu2 and the sign of nearest-neighbor intrachain exchange interactions between Cu moments, Cu1-Cu1 and Cu2-Cu2.

Heat capacity and magnetic susceptibility measurements [inset of Fig. 1(c)] on a single crystal sample reveal a paramagnetic-antiferromagnetic phase transition at \( T_N \approx 9.0 \) K, in agreement with previous reports [22,23]. The main panel of Fig. 1(c) plots the temperature dependence of neutron diffraction intensity of an ordering wave vector (0.5 0 0), affirming the antiferromagnetic nature of the magnetic long-range ordered state. The magnetic structure determined by Rietveld refinement (FullProf) [24] (Fig. S1 [25]) is presented in Fig. 1(d). Along the b axis, Cu1 spins align ferromagnetically with spins oriented nearly along the diagonal direction in the ac plane, while Cu2 spins align antiferromagnetically with spins oriented along the a axis.

The nearest-neighbor spins of both Cu1 and Cu2 sites along the a axis are antiparallel, as suggested by the ordering wave vector. The ordered moment for Cu1 and Cu2 sites are \( \approx 0.737(6) \) \( \mu_B \) and \( \approx 0.612(2) \) \( \mu_B \) respectively; both of these values are smaller than the full saturation value of 1 \( \mu_B \) for spin-1/2, resulting from strong quantum fluctuation.

To investigate the nature of the spin dynamics, we performed inelastic neutron scattering measurements on co-aligned single crystals in the (H K 0) scattering plane using the HYSPEC time-of-flight spectrometer at Spallation Neutron Source [37]. Intriguingly, we find that this system shows quasi-1D nature of the exchange interactions as seen in the momentum- and energy-resolved neutron scattering intensity maps presented in Figs. 2(a)–2(c). The nearly dispersionless behavior of the excitation spectrum along both H [Fig. 2(a)] and L [Fig. 2(b)] directions indicates weak coupling between Cu spins along both the a and c axes. In contrast, the \( I(E, K) \) intensity map (integrated over all H and L) presented in Fig. 2(c), shows unusual excitation features with well-defined magnon
dispersion and broad continuum above $\sim 5$ meV. These observations, combined with the refined spin structure shown in Fig. 1(d), demonstrate that this system consists of nearly decoupled, alternating ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic chains. To the best of our knowledge, Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br is the only system discovered thus far to exhibit the coexistence of quasi-1D ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains.

As an initial attempt to understand the magnetic excitations of this system, we performed linear spin wave (LSW) calculations using SpinW [38]. The model magnetic Hamiltonian ($H$) [25] consists of nearest neighbor Heisenberg-Ising type exchange coupling with intrachain interactions ($J_1$ and $J_2$), interchain interaction ($J_3$, $J_4$), and the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction ($D$) [Fig. 1(d)]. The dominant interactions are $J_1$ (ferromagnetic) and $J_2$ (antiferromagnetic), whereas $J_3$ and $J_4$ are antiferromagnetic and small. The LSW fitting spectra are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) and the fitting parameters are $J_1 = -2.6$, $J_2 = 9.9$, $J_3 = 1.2$, $J_4 = 0.3$, and $D = 1.0$ meV. The anisotropy parameter of intrachain interactions are $\Delta_f = 0.173$ for $J_1$ and $\Delta_{AF} = 0.045$ for $J_2$, and the DM term is on the interchain bonds between Cu1 and Cu2 [25]. The good agreement between the experimental data and the LSW results reassures us that this system indeed is composed of quasi-1D ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic alternating chains. The lower-energy branches associated with ferromagnetic chains have an energy gap of $\sim 1.2$ meV at the zone center (e.g., $K = 0$), while the higher-energy branches associated with antiferromagnetic chains have an energy gap of $\sim 4.2$ meV at the zone center (e.g., $K = -1$). These spin gaps arise from anisotropic exchange interactions and finite interchain coupling and the spectral gap in the ferromagnetic branch around 3.5 meV at $K = -0.5$ and $-1.5$ arises from the DM interaction.

As discussed in the introduction, the excitations of (quasi-) 1D spin-1/2 antiferromagnets are spinons. As a result, one expects a broad continuum produced by pairs of spinons, which cannot be described within the framework of LSW theory [7]. Indeed, we do observe a broad continuum above 5 meV as shown in Fig. 2(c), similar to the spinon continuum feature observed in the prototypical quasi-1D antiferromagnet KCuF$_3$ [5,8]. This again affirms the quasi-1D nature of Cu$^{2+}$ spins of Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br.

The measured magnetic excitations and their comparison with LSW simulations raise two important questions. First, what is the underlying mechanism that leads to ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic alternating chains in this system? Second, how do the two different types of magnetic quasiparticles interact with each other?

In order to shed light on the magnetic interactions and the resultant unique spin structure of Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br, we performed first-principles density functional theory (DFT) based calculations. The total energy calculated with different long-range ordered magnetic states is listed in Fig. S4 of the Supplemental Material [25], with the lowest energy spin configuration agreeing with the experimental observation. Using only an isotropic Heisenberg model with nearest neighbor intra- and interchain couplings, the intrachain ($J_1$ and $J_2$) and the interchain chain ($J_3$ and $J_4$) couplings, illustrated in Fig. 1(d), were calculated. Their values are listed in Fig. S5 [25]. One can see that the intrachain interactions indeed dominate, with $J_1$ being ferromagnetic and $J_2$ antiferromagnetic. The weaker interchain couplings $J_3$ and $J_4$ are both antiferromagnetic. The theoretical results are in qualitative agreement with the exchange parameters obtained from LSW fitting. Note that spins of neighboring Cu1 and Cu2 with antiferromagnetic $J_4$ are not energetically favorable, while neighboring spins with antiferromagnetic $J_3$ are energetically favorable. The nonzero magnetic interaction $J_4$ leads to frustration, which facilitates the decoupling of Cu1 and Cu2 chains.

To understand the nature of these exchange interactions, in Fig. 3(a) we present the ground state spin density profile. The $t_{2g}$ orbitals of Cu$^{2+}$ ions are completely filled while there is a single hole in the $e_g$ manifold, which splits due to local crystal field. The spin density shows the half-filled $e_g$ orbital, which has $(x^2-y^2)$-like character in a local coordinate axis system. Interestingly, all the Cu $e_g$ orbital lobes extend toward the oxygen $p$ orbitals but not toward the Br ions. This can be understood by the weaker crystal field associated with Br ions, which have $-1$ charge as opposed to $-2$ for the oxygen ions. The resulting crystal field pushes the Cu $e_g$ orbital with electron clouds extending towards oxygen ions to higher energies, a characteristic of the hole occupying this orbital and spin density associated with it. The crystal field, combined with the geometry and local coordinate of these two Cu sites, leads to antiferro-orbital
orientational order for Cu1 chains and ferro-orbital orientational order for Cu2 chains. Such an unusual orientational ordering of the active magnetic orbital, which can be considered as an improper orbital order imposed by the strongly asymmetric crystal field of the anions, gives rise to anion-mediated exchange interactions that are dominated by Cu-O-Cu exchange pathways, considering that only O orbitals σ-bond with the half-filled Cu $e_g$ orbitals. This is supported by nearly zero spin density on the Br ions as illustrated in Fig. 3(a), which indicates that Br does not hybridize with the spin-polarized Cu orbitals, and hence does not contribute to superexchange. The projected density of states (DOS) of Br, O, and the hole (i.e., the unoccupied states) of Cu$^{2+}$ ions are shown in Fig. 3(b). Consequently, antiferro-orbital order along Cu1 chains leads to ferromagnetic spin coupling ($J_1 < 0$) whereas ferro-orbital order leads to antiferromagnetic spin coupling along the Cu2 chains ($J_2 > 0$) [39].

Next, we discuss magnon-spinon interaction via the weak interchain couplings ($J_3$, $J_4$) between neighboring AFM/FM chains. In the absence of interchain couplings, the system would host deconfined spinons propagating in the AFM chain and well-defined magnons propagating in the FM chain. With gradual increase of interchain couplings, the quasi-1D nature of the system is progressively destroyed and magnetic long-range order develops. It is known that in quasi-1D antiferromagnets composed of identical spin chains, such as KCu$\text{F}_3$ [12], there is an energy threshold which separates spinons and magnons. Above this threshold, spinons are deconfined; below this threshold, the spinon continuum turns into classical magnons because of the finite interchain couplings and resulting in long-range order [13,40]. Thus, in these systems, magnetic excitations are carried either by unbound spinons or classical magnons in different energy regimes, and they do not interact. In contrast, due to the coexistence of both ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic chains in Cu$_2$(OH)$_3$Br, the corresponding magnon and spinon excitations can coexist in the same energy range and interact with each other through the finite interchain couplings.

To better understand the effects of interchain couplings, we have used the algorithms for lattice fermions implementation [41] of the finite temperature auxiliary field quantum Monte Carlo to carry out numerical simulations of the dynamical spin structure factor of a system consisting of alternating ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains with the inter-chain coupling $J_3 = 0$ (a), $J_3 = 0.1J_2$ (b), and $J_3 = 0.2J_2$ (c). (d) Constant energy cuts at $E = [8.7, 9.7]$ meV showing the asymmetric spectral intensity about $K = 1$ induced by nonzero $J_3$. Note that the Bose factor but not magnetic form factor of Cu$^{2+}$ ions has been taken into account in the simulation.

Several important features to point out. First, both well-defined magnon dispersion and spinon continuum, which are associated with ferromagnetic chains and antiferromagnetic chains, respectively, are clearly seen, consistent with the experimental observation shown in Fig. 2(c). Second, by introducing nonzero $J_3$, the magnetic excitations associated with antiferromagnetic chains are pushed up to higher energy and a gap opens which increases with $J_3$. This gap opening is the result of molecular field arising from the neighboring ferromagnetic chains. Third, compared to the decoupled spin chains, nonzero $J_3$ introduces asymmetric spectral intensity centered about $K = 1$, as shown by the constant energy cut (at $E = [7.7, 9.7]$ meV) presented in Fig. 4(d), which suggests that the interchain coupling induces redistribution of spectral weight.

To obtain further insights on the effects of interchain couplings and the resultant magnon-spinon interactions, we perform RPA calculations and compare the results with the INS excitation spectra. For this purpose, we adopt and generalize the RPA approach for coupled antiferromagnetic chains [44]. In the presence of interchain interaction, we obtain generalized susceptibilities $\chi_{RPA}^{AF}(k, \omega)$ for the two types of chains.
FIG. 5. Magnetic excitation spectra and the comparison with RPA calculations. (a) $I(E,K)$ intensity map obtained after background subtraction with $H$ integrated over $[0.85, 1.1]$ and $L$ integrated over all measured values. (b) The RPA calculation of $I(E,K)$ spectra for comparison. Constant energy cuts at $E = 10.75$ meV (c) and at $E = 7.75$ meV (d) and their comparison with RPA calculations.

$$\chi_{RPA}^{AF} (\bar{k}, \omega) = \frac{[1 - J_{\perp} (\bar{k}) \cdot \chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\perp}, \omega)] \cdot \chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\parallel}, \omega)}{1 - [J_{\perp} (\bar{k})]^2 \cdot \chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\parallel}, \omega) \cdot \chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\parallel}, \omega)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$J_{\perp} (\bar{k}) = 4(J_4 + J_3) \cos \left( \frac{k_{a} a}{2} \right) \cos \left( \frac{k_{b} b}{4} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\parallel}, \omega)$ are the susceptibilities of noninteracting chains and $J_{\perp} (\bar{k})$ is the Fourier transforms of the interchain couplings. Here $k_{\parallel}$ is the component of the wave vector $\bar{k}$ along the chain direction ($b$ axis), and $k_{\perp}$ is perpendicular to the chain direction ($a$ axis). We use a Lorentzian function for $\chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\parallel}, \omega)$ and the Müller Ansatz [7] expression for $\chi_{1D}^{AF} (k_{\parallel}, \omega)$. Detailed description of the generalized RPA approach is documented in the Supplemental Material [25].

Figures 5(a), 5(b) present the measured excitations with $H$ integrated over $[0.85, 1.15]$ and the corresponding RPA results, respectively. In addition to the two-spinon continuum that is clearly observed in RPA calculations [Fig. 5(b)], which is consistent with the experimental data shown in Fig. 5(a), one can see a clear modification of the spectral intensity caused by the interchain couplings. For instance, a constant energy cut at $E = 10.75$ meV is plotted in Fig. 5(c), together with the RPA calculations with (red) and without (black) interchain couplings. One can see that RPA calculation with the inclusion of interchain couplings captures the redistribution of the spectral weight with the intensity at $K = -0.5$ larger than that at $K = -1.5$. This difference cannot be accounted for by magnetic form factor.

Note that $J_{\perp} (\bar{k})$ [Eq. (2)] is negative when $K$ is in the range of $[-1, 0]$ and positive when $K$ is in the range $[2, -1]$. This difference in the sign leads to the asymmetry in the spectral weight about $K = -1$, which is consistent with the QMC simulation results shown in Figs. 4(b)–4(d). If we reduce the constant energy cut to $E = 7.75$ meV [Fig. 5(d)] and focus on the two peaks closest to $K = -1$, again the RPA spectrum with interchain couplings introduces asymmetry. The agreement near $K = -1.25$ is very good but not so good for $K = -0.75$. Further comparison between experimental data and RPA calculation results is discussed in the Supplemental Material [25].

In summary, we have discovered that magnons and spinons coexist in Cu$_2$(OH)$_1$Br, which uniquely consists of quasi-1D ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic quantum spin chains. Magnons and spinons interact with each other via weak but finite interchain couplings, which opens the gap of the spinon continuum and gives rise to a redistribution of the spectral weight. This study highlights a new toy model and research paradigm to study the interaction between two different types of magnetic quasiparticles.
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